

HARMONIC ALMOST CONTACT STRUCTURES VIA THE INTRINSIC TORSION

J. C. GONZÁLEZ-DÁVILA AND F. MARTÍN CABRERA

ABSTRACT. We go further on the study of harmonicity for almost contact metric structures already initiated by Vergara-Díaz and Wood. By using the intrinsic torsion, we characterise harmonic almost contact metric structures in several equivalent ways and show conditions relating harmonicity and classes of almost contact metric structures. Additionally, we study the harmonicity of such structures as a map into the quotient bundle of the oriented orthonormal frames by the action of the structural group $U(n) \times 1$. Finally, by using a Bochner type formula proved by Bör and Hernández Lamóneda, we display some examples which give the absolute minimum for the energy.

Keywords and phrases: G -structure, intrinsic torsion, minimal connection, almost contact metric structure, harmonic almost contact structure, harmonic map

2000 MSC: 53C10, 53C15, 53C25

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Almost contact metric structures	6
4. Harmonic almost contact structures	10
5. Almost contact metric structures with minimal energy	19
6. Examples	25
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

For an oriented Riemannian manifold M of dimension n , given a Lie subgroup G of $SO(n)$, M is said to be equipped with a G -structure, if there exists a subbundle $\mathcal{G}(M)$ of the oriented orthonormal frame bundle $\mathcal{SO}(M)$ with structural group G . For a fixed G , a natural question arises, 'which are the best G -structures on M ?'. An approach to answer this question is based on the notion of the energy of a G -structure which is a particular case of the energy of a map between Riemannian manifolds. Such a functional has been widely studied by diverse authors [6, 7, 18]. The corresponding critical points are called *harmonic maps* and have been characterised by Eells and Sampson [8].

For principal G -bundles $Q \rightarrow M$ over a Riemannian manifold, Wood in [24] considers global sections $\sigma : M \rightarrow Q/H$ of the quotient bundle $\pi : Q/H \rightarrow M$, where H is a Lie subgroup of G such that G/H is reductive. Such global sections are in one-to-one correspondence with the H -reductions of the G -bundle $Q \rightarrow M$. Likewise, a connection on $Q \rightarrow M$ and a G -invariant metric on G/H are fixed. Thus, Q/H can be equipped in a natural way with a metric defined by using the metrics on M and G/H . In such conditions, Wood regards harmonic sections as generalisations of harmonic maps from M into Q/H , deriving the corresponding characterising conditions, called *harmonic sections equations*.

The situation described in the previous paragraph arises when the Riemannian manifold M is equipped with some additional geometric structure, viewed as reduction of the structure group of the tangent bundle. Thus, in [10] it is considered the particular situation for G -structures defined on an oriented Riemannian manifold M of dimension n , where G is a closed and connected subgroup of $SO(n)$. Since the existence of a G -structure on M is equivalent to the existence of a global section $\sigma : M \rightarrow \mathcal{SO}(M)/G$ of the quotient bundle, the energy of a G -structure is defined as the energy of the corresponding map σ . If ξ^G denotes the intrinsic torsion of the G -structure, such an energy functional is essentially determined by the *total bending* given by $B(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\xi^G\|^2 dv$. As a consequence, the notion of *harmonic G -structure*, introduced by Wood in [24], is given in terms of the intrinsic torsion. This analysis makes possible to go further in the study of relations between harmonicity and classes of G -structures. Thus, the study of harmonic almost Hermitian structures initiated in [23, 24] is completed in [10] with additional results.

Our purpose in the present work is going on the study of harmonicity for almost contact metric structures initiated by Vergara-Díaz and Wood in [21]. Almost contact metric structures can be seen as $U(n)$ -structures defined on Riemannian manifolds of dimension $2n + 1$.

After characterising harmonic almost contact metric structures in several equivalent ways (Theorem 4.1), we show conditions relating harmonicity and Chineza and González-Dávila's classes [5] of almost contact metric structures. This is exposed in Theorem 4.3. Finally, the harmonicity of an almost contact metric structure as a map into $\mathcal{SO}(M)/(U(n) \times 1)$ is studied in Theorem 4.8.

As a relevant remark, we point out the rôle played by the identities given in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6. They are consequences of the trivial identity $d^2F = 0$, where F is the fundamental two-form of the almost contact metric structure (see Section 3). Such identities are deduced by firstly expressing d^2F in terms of the intrinsic torsion and the minimal connection, and then extracting certain $U(n)$ -components. An analog identity for almost Hermitian structures was deduced in [17]. In the proofs of Theorems 4.3 and 4.8, the use of these identities beside the harmonicity criteria is fundamental.

In the final part of this work, we apply the simple and elegant argument used by Bör et al. in [4] to almost Hermitian structures, here to almost contact metric structures. Thus, by making use of a Bochner type formula proved in [3] (see the equation (5.1) below), we show that in some situations there is an absolute minimum. This and other results are applied in the last Section, where we display several examples. In particular, some of them give the absolute minimum for the energy.

Acknowledgements. The authors are supported by grants from MEC (Spain), projects MTM2007-65852 and MTM2007-66375.

2. PRELIMINARIES

On an n -dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, we consider the principal $SO(n)$ -bundle $\pi_{SO(n)} : \mathcal{SO}(M) \rightarrow M$ of the oriented orthonormal frames with respect to the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Given a closed and connected subgroup G of $SO(n)$, a G -structure on $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a reduction $\mathcal{G}(M) \subset \mathcal{SO}(M)$ to G . In the present Section we briefly recall some notions relative to G -structures (see [10, 24] for more details).

Let $\mathcal{SO}(M)/G$ be the orbit space under the action of G on $\mathcal{SO}(M)$ on the right as subgroup of $SO(n)$. Then the G -orbit map $\pi_G : \mathcal{SO}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{SO}(M)/G$ is a principal G -bundle and we have $\pi_{SO(n)} = \pi \circ \pi_G$, where $\pi : \mathcal{SO}(M)/G \rightarrow M$ is a fibre bundle with fibre $SO(n)/G$, which is naturally isomorphic to the associated bundle $\mathcal{SO}(M) \times_{SO(n)} SO(n)/G$. The map $\sigma : M \rightarrow \mathcal{SO}(M)/G$ given by $\sigma(m) = \pi_G(p)$, for all $p \in \mathcal{G}(M)$ with $\pi_{SO(n)}(p) = m$, is a smooth section and determines a one-to-one correspondence between the totally of G -structures and the manifold $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{SO}(M)/G)$ of all global sections of $\mathcal{SO}(M)/G$. In what sequel, we shall also denote by σ the G -structure determined by the section σ .

The presence of a reduced subbundle $\mathcal{G}(M)$ yields to express the bundle of endomorphisms $\text{End}(TM)$ on the fibers in the tangent bundle TM as the associated vector bundle $\mathcal{G}(M) \times_G \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We restrict our attention on the subbundle $\mathfrak{so}(M)$ of $\text{End}(TM)$ of skew-symmetric endomorphisms φ_m , for all $m \in M$, i.e. $\langle \varphi_m X, Y \rangle = -\langle \varphi_m Y, X \rangle$. Note that this subbundle $\mathfrak{so}(M)$ is expressed as $\mathfrak{so}(M) = \mathcal{SO}(M) \times_{SO(n)} \mathfrak{so}(n) = \mathcal{G}(M) \times_G \mathfrak{so}(n)$. Furthermore, because $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is decomposed into the G -modules $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie algebra of G , and the orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{m}$ on $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by $\langle X, Y \rangle = -\text{trace } XY$, the bundle $\mathfrak{so}(M)$ is also decomposed into $\mathfrak{so}(M) = \mathfrak{g}_\sigma \oplus \mathfrak{m}_\sigma$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma = \mathcal{G}(M) \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma = \mathcal{G}(M) \times_G \mathfrak{m}$.

Under the conditions above fixed, if M is equipped with a G -structure, then there exists a G -connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ defined on M . Doing the difference $\tilde{\xi}_X = \tilde{\nabla}_X - \nabla_X$, where ∇_X is the Levi-Civita connection of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, a tensor $\tilde{\xi}_X \in \mathfrak{so}(M)$ is obtained. Decomposing $\tilde{\xi}_X = (\tilde{\xi}_X)_{\mathfrak{g}_\sigma} + (\tilde{\xi}_X)_{\mathfrak{m}_\sigma}$, $(\tilde{\xi}_X)_{\mathfrak{g}_\sigma} \in \mathfrak{g}_\sigma$ and $(\tilde{\xi}_X)_{\mathfrak{m}_\sigma} \in \mathfrak{m}_\sigma$, a new G -connection ∇^G , defined by $\nabla_X^G = \tilde{\nabla}_X - (\tilde{\xi}_X)_{\mathfrak{g}_\sigma}$, can be considered. Because the difference between two G -connections must be in $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma$, ∇^G is the unique G -connection on M such that its torsion satisfies the condition $\xi_X^G = (\tilde{\xi}_X)_{\mathfrak{m}_\sigma} = \nabla_X^G - \nabla_X$ is in $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$. ∇^G is called the *minimal connection* and ξ^G is referred to as the *intrinsic torsion* of the G -structure σ [9]. If $\xi^G = 0$, the G -structure is said to be *integrable*. In such a case, the Riemannian holonomy group of M is contained in G .

Remark 2.1. A natural way of classifying G -structures arises by decomposing the space $\mathcal{W} = T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{m}_\sigma$ of possible intrinsic torsion into irreducible G -modules. This was initiated by Gray and Hervella [14] for almost Hermitian structures. In this particular case, $G = U(n)$ and the space $\mathcal{W}$ is decomposed into four irreducible $U(n)$ -modules. Therefore, $2^4 = 16$ classes of almost Hermitian structures were obtained.

Along the present paper, we will consider the natural extension of the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to (r, s) -tensors on M . Such an extension is defined by

$$\langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle = \Psi_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the summation convention is used and $\Psi_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}$ and $\Phi_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}$ are the components of the (r, s) -tensors $\Psi, \Phi \in T_{s,m}^r M$, with respect to an orthonormal frame over $m \in M$. Likewise, we

will make reiterated use of the *musical isomorphisms* $\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ and $\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$, induced by the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on M , defined respectively by $X^\flat = \langle X, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \theta^\sharp, \cdot \rangle = \theta$.

If ω is the connection one-form associated to ∇ , then $T\mathcal{SO}(M) = \ker \pi_{\mathcal{SO}(n)*} \oplus \ker \omega$. Now considering the projection $\pi_G : \mathcal{SO}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{SO}(M)/G$, the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{SO}(M)/G$ is decomposed into $T\mathcal{SO}(M)/G = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{V} = \pi_{G*}(\ker \pi_{\mathcal{SO}(n)*})$ and $\mathcal{H} = \pi_{G*}(\ker \omega)$. For the projection $\pi : \mathcal{SO}(M)/G \rightarrow M$, $\pi(pG) = \pi_{\mathcal{SO}(n)}(p)$, the *vertical* and *horizontal* distributions $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are such that $\pi_*\mathcal{V} = 0$ and $\pi_*\mathcal{H} = TM$.

Moreover, it is considered the bundle $\pi^*\mathfrak{so}(M)$ on $\mathcal{SO}(M)/G$ consisting of those pairs $(pG, \check{\varphi}_m)$, where $\pi(pG) = m$ and $\check{\varphi}_m \in \mathfrak{so}(M)_m$. Alternatively, $\pi^*\mathfrak{so}(M)$ is described as the bundle $\pi^*\mathfrak{so}(M) = \mathcal{SO}(M) \times_G \mathfrak{so}(n) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)} = \mathcal{SO}(M) \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)} = \mathcal{SO}(M) \times_G \mathfrak{m}$. A metric on each fiber of $\pi^*\mathfrak{so}(M)$ is defined by

$$\langle (pG, \check{\varphi}_m), (pG, \check{\psi}_m) \rangle = \langle \check{\varphi}_m, \check{\psi}_m \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the right side is the extension to $(1, 1)$ -tensors of the metric on M given by (2.1). With respect to this metric, the decomposition $\pi^*\mathfrak{so}(M) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)}$ is orthogonal.

There is a canonical isomorphism between $\mathcal{V}$ and the bundle $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)}$. In fact, elements in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)}$ can be seen as pairs $(pG, \check{\varphi}_m)$ such that if $\check{\varphi}_m$ is expressed with respect to p , then it is obtained a matrix $(a_{ji}) \in \mathfrak{m}$. For all $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, we have the fundamental vector field a^* on $\mathcal{SO}(M)$ given by

$$a_p^* = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} p \cdot \exp ta \in \ker \pi_{\mathcal{SO}(n)*p} \subseteq T_p\mathcal{SO}(M).$$

Any vector in $\mathcal{V}_{pG}$ is given by $\pi_{G*p}(a_p^*)$, for some $a = (a_{ji}) \in \mathfrak{m}$. The isomorphism $\phi|_{\mathcal{V}_{pG}} : \mathcal{V}_{pG} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)})_{pG}$ is defined by

$$\phi|_{\mathcal{V}_{pG}}(\pi_{G*p}(a_p^*)) = (pG, a_{ji} p(u_i)^\flat \otimes p(u_j)).$$

Next it is extended the map $\phi|_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)}$ to $\phi : T\mathcal{SO}(M)/G \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{SO}(M)}$ by saying that $\phi(A) = 0$, for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$, and $\phi(V) = \phi|_{\mathcal{V}}(V)$, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. This is used to define a metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{SO}(M)/G}$ on $\mathcal{SO}(M)/G$ by

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\mathcal{SO}(M)/G} = \langle \pi_*A, \pi_*B \rangle + \langle \phi(A), \phi(B) \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

For this metric, the projection $\pi : \mathcal{SO}(M)/G \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres (see [22] and [1, page 249]).

Now, it is considered the set of all possible G -structures on a closed and oriented Riemannian manifold M which are compatible with the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Such a set is identified with the manifold $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{SO}(M)/G)$ of all possible global sections $\sigma : M \rightarrow \mathcal{SO}(M)/G$. With respect to the metrics $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{SO}(M)/G}$, the *energy* of σ is the integral

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\sigma_*\|^2 dv, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\|\sigma_*\|^2$ is the norm of the differential σ_* of σ and dv denotes the volume form on $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. On the domain of a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ on M , $\|\sigma_*\|^2$ can be locally expressed as $\|\sigma_*\|^2 = \langle \sigma_*e_i, \sigma_*e_i \rangle_{\mathcal{SO}(M)/G}$. Furthermore, using (2.2), from (2.3) it

is obtained that the energy $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ of σ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \frac{n}{2} \text{Vol}(M) + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\phi \sigma_*\|^2 dv.$$

The relevant part of this formula $B(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\phi \sigma_*\|^2 dv$ is called the *total bending* of the G -structure σ . In [10], it was shown that $\phi \sigma_* = -\xi^G$. Therefore,

$$B(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\xi^G\|^2 dv.$$

To study critical points of the functional $\mathcal{E}$ on $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G)$, smooth variations $\sigma_t \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G)$ of $\sigma = \sigma_0$ are considered. The corresponding *variation fields* $m \rightarrow \varphi(m) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \sigma_t(m)$ are sections of the induced bundle $\sigma^*\mathcal{V}$ on M . Furthermore, by using ϕ , we will have $\phi \text{pr}_2^* \sigma^*\mathcal{V} \cong \sigma^* \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)} \cong \mathfrak{m}_\sigma$. Thus, the tangent space $T_\sigma \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G)$ is firstly identified with the space $\Gamma^\infty(\sigma^*\mathcal{V})$ of global sections of $\sigma^*\mathcal{V}$ [18]. A second identification is $\Gamma^\infty(\sigma^*\mathcal{V}) \cong \Gamma^\infty(\mathfrak{m}_\sigma)$ as global sections of $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$.

In following results, we will consider the coderivative $d^* \xi^G$ of the intrinsic torsion ξ^G , which is given by

$$d^* \xi_m^G = -(\nabla_{e_i} \xi^G)_{e_i} = -(\nabla_{e_i}^G \xi^G)_{e_i} - \xi_{\xi_{e_i}^G e_i}^G \in \mathfrak{m}_{\sigma m},$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is any orthonormal frame on $m \in M$. Therefore, $d^* \xi^G$ is a global section of $\mathfrak{m}_\sigma$.

Theorem 2.2 ([10]). *If G is a closed and connected subgroup of $SO(n)$, $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ a closed and oriented Riemannian manifold and σ a global section of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G$, then:*

- (i) *(The first variation formula). For the energy functional $\mathcal{E} : \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\varphi \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathfrak{m}_\sigma) \cong T_\sigma \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G)$, we have*

$$d\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\varphi) = - \int_M \langle \xi^G, \nabla \varphi \rangle dv = - \int_M \langle d^* \xi^G, \varphi \rangle dv.$$

- (ii) *(The second variation formula). The Hessian form $(\text{Hess } \mathcal{E})_\sigma$ on $\Gamma^\infty(\mathfrak{m}_\sigma)$ is given by*

$$(\text{Hess } \mathcal{E})_\sigma \varphi = \int_M \left(\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|[\xi^G, \varphi]_{\mathfrak{m}_\sigma}\|^2 + \langle \nabla \varphi, 2[\xi^G, \varphi] - [\xi^G, \varphi]_{\mathfrak{m}_\sigma} \rangle \right) dv.$$

As a consequence of this Theorem the following notion is introduced: for general Riemannian manifolds $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ not necessarily closed and oriented, a G -structure σ is said to be *harmonic*, if it satisfies $d^* \xi^G = 0$ or, equivalently, $(\nabla_{e_i}^G \xi)_{e_i} = -\xi_{\xi_{e_i}^G e_i}^G$.

Given a G -structure σ on a closed Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, the map $\sigma : (M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \mapsto (\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G})$ is harmonic, i.e. σ is a critical point for the energy functional on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G)$ if and only if its *tension field* $\tau(\sigma) = (\nabla_{e_i} \sigma_*)(e_i)$ vanishes [18]. Here, $\nabla \sigma_*$ is defined by $(\nabla_X \sigma_*)(Y) = \nabla_{\sigma_* X}^q \sigma_* Y - \sigma_*(\nabla_X Y)$, where ∇^q denotes the induced connection by the Levi-Civita connection ∇^q of the metric in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(M)/G$. According with [10, 24], harmonic sections σ are characterised by the vanishing of the vertical component of $\tau(\sigma)$ and the horizontal component of $\tau(\sigma)$ is determined by the horizontal lift of the vector field metrically equivalent to the one-form ν_σ , defined by

$$\nu_\sigma(X) = \langle \xi_{e_i}^G, R_{e_i, X} \rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, the map $\sigma : (M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \mapsto (SO(M)/G, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{SO(M)/G})$ turns out to be a harmonic map if and only if σ is a harmonic G -structure such that ν_σ vanishes for all vectors.

If $R_{X,Y} \mathfrak{m}_\sigma = 0$, the G -structure σ is referred to as a *flat G -structure*. The intrinsic torsion of a flat G -structure has not contributions in the G -components of R orthogonal to $S^2 \mathfrak{g}_\sigma$. Thus, R is in the space of algebraic curvature tensors for manifolds with an integrable G -structure.

Relevant types of diverse G -structures are characterised by saying that their intrinsic torsion ξ^G is metrically equivalent to a skew-symmetric three-form, that is, $\xi_X^G Y = -\xi_Y^G X$. Now we will recall some facts satisfied by such G -structures.

Proposition 2.3 ([10]). *For a G -structure σ such that $\xi_X^G Y = -\xi_Y^G X$, we have:*

- (i) *If $[\xi_X^G, \xi_Y^G] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\sigma$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, then $\langle R_{X,Y} \mathfrak{m}_\sigma X, Y \rangle = 2\langle \xi_X^G Y, \xi_X^G Y \rangle$. Therefore, σ is integrable if and only if σ is flat.*
- (ii) *If σ is a harmonic G -structure, then σ is also a harmonic map.*

In Section 4, we will study harmonicity of almost contact metric structures. Such structures are examples of G -structures defined by means of one or several (r, s) -tensor fields Ψ which are stabilised under the action of G , i.e. $g \cdot \Psi = \Psi$, for all $g \in G$. Moreover, it will be possible characterise the harmonicity of such G -structures by conditions given in terms of those tensors Ψ . The *connection Laplacian* (or *rough Laplacian*) $\nabla^* \nabla \Psi$ will play a relevant rôle in such conditions. We recall that

$$\nabla^* \nabla \Psi = -(\nabla^2 \Psi)_{e_i, e_i},$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame field and $(\nabla^2 \Psi)_{X,Y} = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y \Psi) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \Psi$. If a Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ of dimension n is equipped by a G -structure, where $G \subseteq SO(n)$ is closed and connected, and Ψ is a (r, s) -tensor field on M which is stabilised under the action of G , then

$$\nabla^* \nabla \Psi = (\nabla_{e_i}^G \xi_{e_i}^G)_{e_i} \Psi + \xi_{\xi_{e_i}^G e_i}^G \Psi - \xi_{e_i}^G (\xi_{e_i}^G \Psi). \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, if the G -structure is harmonic, $\nabla^* \nabla \Psi = -\xi_{e_i}^G (\xi_{e_i}^G \Psi)$.

3. ALMOST CONTACT METRIC STRUCTURES

An almost contact metric manifold is a $2n+1$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ equipped with a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field φ and a unit vector field ζ , called the *characteristic vector field* of the structure, such that

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \zeta, \quad \langle \varphi X, \varphi Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where $\eta = \zeta^\flat$. Associated with the almost contact metric structure the two-form $F = \langle \cdot, \varphi \cdot \rangle$, called the *fundamental two-form*, is usually considered. Using F and η , M can be oriented fixing a constant multiple of $F^n \wedge \eta = F \wedge \binom{n}{1} F \wedge \eta$ as volume form. Likewise, the presence of an almost contact metric structure is equivalent to say that M is equipped with a $U(n) \times 1$ -structure. It is well known that $U(n) \times 1$ is a closed and connected subgroup of $SO(2n+1)$ and $SO(2n+1)/(U(n) \times 1)$ is reductive. In this case, the cotangent space on each point $T_m^* M$ is not irreducible under the action of the group $U(n) \times 1$. In fact, $T^* M = \eta^\perp \oplus \mathbb{R}\eta$ and

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n+1) \cong \Lambda^2 T^* M = \Lambda^2 \eta^\perp \oplus \eta^\perp \wedge \mathbb{R}\eta.$$

From now on, we will denote $X_{\zeta^\perp} = X - \eta(X)\zeta$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Since $\Lambda^2\eta^\perp = \mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp$, where $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ (resp., $\mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp$) consists of those two-forms b such that $b(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = b(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp})$ (resp., $b(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = -b(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp})$), we have

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n+1) = \mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp, \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp = \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp \oplus \eta^\perp \wedge \mathbb{R}\eta.$$

Therefore, for the space $T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp$ of possible intrinsic $U(n) \times 1$ -torsions, we obtain

$$T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp = (\eta^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp) \oplus (\eta \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp) \oplus (\eta^\perp \otimes \eta^\perp \wedge \eta) \oplus (\eta \otimes \eta^\perp \wedge \eta).$$

Chinea and González-Dávila [5] showed that $T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp$ is decomposed into twelve irreducible $U(n)$ -modules $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{12}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp &= \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4, \\ \eta^\perp \otimes \eta^\perp \wedge \eta &= \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \\ \eta \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp &= \mathcal{C}_{11}, \\ \eta \otimes \eta^\perp \wedge \eta &= \mathcal{C}_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

The modules $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_4$ are isomorphic to the Gray and Hervella's $U(n)$ -modules mentioned in Remark 2.1. Furthermore, note that φ restricted to $\zeta^\perp$ works as an almost complex structure and, if one considers the $U(n)$ -action on the bilinear forms $\otimes^2\eta^\perp$, then we have the decomposition

$$\otimes^2\eta^\perp = \mathbb{R}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{|\zeta^\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n)_s \oplus [\![\sigma^{2,0}]\!] \oplus \mathbb{R}F \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n)_a \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp.$$

The modules $\mathfrak{su}(n)_s$ (resp., $\mathfrak{su}(n)_a$) consists of Hermitian symmetric (resp., skew-symmetric) bilinear forms orthogonal to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{|\zeta^\perp}$ (resp., F), and $[\![\sigma^{2,0}]\!]$ (resp., $\mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp$) is the space of anti-Hermitian symmetric (resp., skew-symmetric) bilinear forms. With respect to the modules $\mathcal{C}_i$, one has $\eta^\perp \otimes \eta^\perp \wedge \mathbb{R}\eta \cong \otimes^2\eta^\perp$ and, using the $U(n)$ -map $\xi^{U(n)} \rightarrow -\xi^{U(n)}\eta = \nabla\eta$, it is obtained

$$\mathcal{C}_5 \cong \mathbb{R}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{|\zeta^\perp}, \quad \mathcal{C}_8 \cong \mathfrak{su}(n)_s, \quad \mathcal{C}_9 \cong [\![\sigma^{2,0}]\!], \quad \mathcal{C}_6 \cong \mathbb{R}F, \quad \mathcal{C}_7 \cong \mathfrak{su}(n)_a, \quad \mathcal{C}_{10} \cong \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp.$$

In summary, the space of possible intrinsic torsions $T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp$ consists of those tensors $\xi^{U(n)}$ such that

$$\varphi\xi_X^{U(n)}Y + \xi_X^{U(n)}\varphi Y = \eta(Y)\varphi\xi_X^{U(n)}\zeta + \eta(\xi_X^{U(n)}\varphi Y)\zeta \quad (3.1)$$

and, under the action of $U(n) \times 1$, is decomposed into:

- (1) if $n = 1$, $\xi^{U(1)} \in T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(1)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$;
- (2) if $n = 2$, $\xi^{U(2)} \in T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(2)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$;
- (3) if $n \geq 3$, $\xi^{U(n)} \in T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$.

Now, we recall how some of these classes are referred to by diverse authors [2, 5]:

$\{\xi^{U(n)} = 0\}$ = cosymplectic manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_1$ = nearly-K-cosymplectic manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_5$ = α -Kenmotsu manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_6$ = α -Sasakian manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6$ = trans-Sasakian manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9$ = almost cosymplectic manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7$ = quasi-Sasakian manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6$ = nearly-trans-Sasakian manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$ = quasi-K-cosymplectic manifolds, $\mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$ = normal manifolds.

The minimal $U(n)$ -connection is given by $\nabla^{U(n)} = \nabla + \xi^{U(n)}$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_X^{U(n)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \circ \nabla_X \varphi + \nabla_X \eta \otimes \zeta - \frac{1}{2}\eta \otimes \nabla_X \zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X \varphi) \circ \varphi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_X \eta \otimes \zeta - \eta \otimes \nabla_X \zeta.\end{aligned}\quad (3.2)$$

In fact, one can firstly check that $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is metric and $\nabla^{U(n)}\varphi = \nabla^{U(n)}\eta = 0$. Therefore, $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is a $U(n)$ -connection. Finally, it is direct to see that $\xi_X^{U(n)} \in \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp$. Note that if the almost contact metric structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$, then the expression for the intrinsic torsion is reduced to

$$\xi_X^{U(n)} = \nabla_X \eta \otimes \zeta - \eta \otimes \nabla_X \zeta. \quad (3.3)$$

For sake of simplicity, we will write $\xi = \xi^{U(n)}$ in the sequel. Likewise, $\xi_{(i)}$ will denote the component of ξ obtained by the $U(n)$ -isomorphism $(\nabla F)_{(i)} = (-\xi F)_{(i)} \in \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \xi_{(i)}$. In this way we are using the same terminology used in [5] by Chinea and González-Dávila when we are referring to classes.

For studying certain $U(n)$ -components of the Riemannian curvature tensor R of an almost contact metric manifold, it is necessary to consider a Ricci type tensor Ric^{ac} , called the *almost contact Ricci tensor*, associated to the almost contact metric structure. Such a tensor is defined by $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X, Y) = \langle R_{e_i, X} \varphi e_i, \varphi Y \rangle$.

In general, Ric^{ac} is not symmetric. However, since Ric^{ac} satisfies the identities

$$\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(Y_{\zeta^\perp}, X_{\zeta^\perp}), \quad \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X, \zeta) = 0,$$

it can be claimed that

$$\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} \in \mathbb{R}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n)_s \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp \oplus \eta_d^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{R}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n)_s \oplus \eta \odot \eta^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp \oplus \eta \wedge \eta^\perp,$$

where $\eta_d^\perp = \{2\eta \odot \theta + \eta \wedge \theta \mid \theta \in \eta^\perp\} \cong \eta^\perp$ and we follow the convention $a \odot b = \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b + b \otimes a)$.

The skew-symmetric part $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}$ of Ric^{ac} will play a special rôle in the present work. Relative to $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}$ we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \varphi, \zeta)$ be a $2n + 1$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold. Then the almost contact Ricci curvature satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) &= \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i} \varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \\ \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) &= ((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \eta)(\varphi X) + (\xi_{\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i} \eta)(\varphi X),\end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Furthermore, if $n > 1$, we have:

- (i) The restriction $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}|\zeta^\perp}^{\text{ac}}$ of $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}$ to the space $\zeta^\perp$ is in $\mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp$ and determines a $U(n)$ -component of the Weyl curvature tensor W .
- (ii) The one-form $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \cdot)$ is in $\eta^\perp$ and determines another $U(n)$ -component of W .

As a consequence, if $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is conformally flat, i.e. $W = 0$, and $n > 1$, then $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}|\zeta^\perp}^{\text{ac}} = 0$ and $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \cdot) = 0$, or equivalently, $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}} = 0$.

Proof. The so-called Ricci formula [1, p. 26] implies

$$-(R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} F)(X, Y) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\nabla^2 F)_{e_i, \varphi e_i}(X, Y),$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}: T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes \Lambda^2 T^*M \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*M \otimes \Lambda^2 T^*M$ is the skewing mapping. On one hand, by making use of first Bianchi's identity, it is straightforward to check that

$$-(R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} F)(X, Y) = 4 \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X, Y).$$

On the other hand, it is relatively direct to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\nabla^2 F)_{e_i, \varphi e_i}(X, Y) &= -2\langle \varphi(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} X, Y \rangle + 2\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \varphi X, Y \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\langle \varphi \xi_{\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i} X, Y \rangle + 2\langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i} \varphi X, Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using equation (3.1), we will obtain the following expression for $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X, Y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X, Y) &= \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \varphi X, Y \rangle + \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i} \varphi X, Y \rangle \\ &\quad + \eta \odot ((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \eta) \odot \varphi(X, Y) + \eta \odot (\xi_{\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i} \eta) \odot \varphi(X, Y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that $\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi$ and ξ are tensors of the same type because $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is a $U(n)$ -connection. Now, by replacing $X = X_{\zeta^\perp}$ and $Y = Y_{\zeta^\perp}$ in equation (3.4), we will obtain the first required identity. Likewise, by replacing $X = \zeta$ and $Y = X$ in equation (3.4), the second required identity follows.

Next we prove the final assertions in the Lemma. Denoting the space of algebraic curvature tensors by $\mathcal{R}$, we consider the $U(n)$ -map $\Phi_1: \mathfrak{u}(n)|_{\zeta^\perp}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi_1(b) = -\frac{1}{4(n+1)} (6(\varphi_{(1)} + \varphi_{(2)})b \odot F - (\varphi_{(1)} + \varphi_{(2)})b \wedge F),$$

where $(\varphi_{(1)}b)(X, Y) = -b(\varphi X, Y)$ and $(\varphi_{(2)}b)(X, Y) = -b(X, \varphi Y)$. It is easy to check that

$$\text{Ric} \Phi_1(b) = 0, \quad \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} \Phi_1(b) = b.$$

Therefore, $\Phi_1(\mathfrak{u}(n)|_{\zeta^\perp}^\perp)$ is contained in the space $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ of algebraic Weyl curvature tensors and is isomorphic to the $U(n)$ -module denoted by $[\lambda^{2,0}]$ in references. This notation is described below in Section 4. The tensor $\Phi_1(\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}|_{\zeta^\perp})$ is the component of W included in $[\lambda^{2,0}] \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Next we consider the the $U(n)$ -map $\Phi_2: \eta^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ given by

$$\Phi_2(\theta) = 6(\eta \wedge (\theta \circ \varphi)) \odot F - \eta \wedge (\theta \circ \varphi) \wedge F - \frac{6}{2n-1}(\eta \odot \theta) \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the usual Kulkarni-Nomizu product [1] defined by

$$(a \otimes b)(x, y, z, w) = a(x, z)b(y, w) - a(y, z)b(x, w) + a(y, w)b(x, z) - a(x, w)b(y, z). \quad (3.5)$$

One can check that

$$\text{Ric} \Phi_2(\theta) = 0, \quad \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} \Phi_2(\theta) = \frac{4(n^2-1)}{2n-1} \eta \otimes \theta.$$

Therefore, $\Phi_1(\eta^\perp)$ is contained in $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ and is isomorphic to the $U(n)$ -module $[\lambda^{1,0}]$. The tensor $\Phi_2(\frac{2n-1}{4(n^2-1)} \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \cdot))$ is the component of W included in $[\lambda^{1,0}] \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. $\square$

The vector field $\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i$ involved in Ric^{ac} is given by

$$-2\xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i = (d^* F)^\sharp + d^* F(\zeta) \zeta + \varphi \nabla_\zeta \zeta.$$

Thus, this vector field is contributed by the components of ξ in $\mathcal{C}_4$ and $\mathcal{C}_6$. In fact, $2\xi_{(4)e_i}\varphi e_i = -(d^*F)^\sharp - \varphi\nabla_\zeta\zeta + d^*F(\zeta)\zeta$ and $\xi_{(6)e_i}\varphi e_i = -d^*F(\zeta)\zeta$. On the other hand, the vector field $\xi_{e_i}e_i$ which takes part in the harmonicity criterion is given by

$$-2\xi_{e_i}e_i = \varphi(d^*F)^\sharp + 2d^*\eta\zeta + \nabla_\zeta\zeta.$$

Because $2\xi_{(4)e_i}e_i = -\varphi(d^*F)^\sharp + \nabla_\zeta\zeta$, $\xi_{(5)e_i}e_i = -d^*\eta\zeta$ and $\xi_{(12)e_i}e_i = -\nabla_\zeta\zeta$, in this case we have a vector field contributed by $\mathcal{C}_4$, $\mathcal{C}_5$ and $\mathcal{C}_{12}$.

4. HARMONIC ALMOST CONTACT STRUCTURES

In this section we will show conditions relating harmonicity and Chinea and González-Dávila's classes of almost contact metric structures. Firstly, we characterise harmonic almost contact structures by means of the rough Laplacian of stabilised tensors under the action of $U(n)$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \varphi, \zeta)$ is a $2n+1$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold with fundamental two-form F , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \varphi, \zeta)$ is harmonic.
- (ii) $\nabla^*\nabla\varphi \in \mathfrak{u}(n) + \zeta_c^\perp$, where $\zeta_c^\perp = \{a \otimes \zeta - \eta \otimes a^\sharp \mid a \in \eta^\perp\} \cong \eta^\perp \wedge \eta$, and $\nabla^*\nabla\zeta = -\xi_{e_i}\xi_{e_i}\zeta$.
- (iii) $[\nabla^*\nabla\varphi, \varphi] = 3\eta \otimes \nabla^*\nabla\zeta - 3\nabla^*\nabla\eta \otimes \zeta$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the commutator bracket for endomorphisms, and $\nabla^*\nabla\zeta = -\xi_{e_i}\xi_{e_i}\zeta$.
- (iv) $\nabla^*\nabla F \in \mathfrak{u}(n) + \eta^\perp \wedge \eta$, i.e. $\nabla^*\nabla F(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = \nabla^*\nabla F(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp})$, and $\nabla^*\nabla\eta = -\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}\eta)$.
- (v) $\nabla^*\nabla F(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = \nabla^*\nabla F(X, Y) - 3\eta \wedge (\nabla^*\nabla\eta) \circ \varphi(X, Y)$ and $\nabla^*\nabla\eta = -\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}\eta)$.
- (vi) $\nabla^*\nabla F(X, Y) = -4F(\xi_{e_i}X, \xi_{e_i}Y) + (\xi_{e_i}\eta) \wedge (\xi_{e_i}\eta) \circ \varphi(X, Y) + \eta \wedge (\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}\eta)) \circ \varphi(X, Y)$.
- (vii) For all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have $\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle = 0$ and $(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i}\eta + \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i}\eta = 0$.

In particular, an almost contact metric structure of type $\mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$ is harmonic if and only if $\nabla^*\nabla\zeta = \|\nabla\zeta\|^2\zeta$, that is, the characteristic vector field ζ is a harmonic unit vector field (see [11, 19] for this notion).

Remark 4.2. Vergara-Díaz and Wood [21] characterise harmonic almost contact metric structures by the conditions $\nabla^*\nabla\varphi \in \mathfrak{u}(n) + \zeta_c^\perp$, and $\nabla^*\nabla\zeta = \|\nabla\zeta\|^2\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\varphi T(\varphi)$. In terms given here, one can check that $\varphi T(\varphi) = 2(\|\nabla\zeta\|^2\zeta + \xi_{e_i}\xi_{e_i}\zeta)$.

Proof. For (i) implies (vi). Because F is stabilised by $U(n)$, by $(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i} = -\xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i}$ and (2.5), (i) implies

$$(\nabla^*\nabla F)(X, Y) = -2F(\xi_{e_i}X, \xi_{e_i}Y) + \langle \xi_{e_i}X, \xi_{e_i}\varphi Y \rangle - \langle \xi_{e_i}\varphi X, \xi_{e_i}Y \rangle.$$

Now, using (3.1) we have (vi).

For (vi) implies (v). In general, it is satisfied $(\xi_X F)(\zeta, \varphi Y) = (\xi_X \eta)(Y)$. Taking this into account, it is direct to check

$$(\nabla^*\nabla F)(\zeta, \varphi X) = (\nabla^*\nabla\eta)(X) + 4(\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}\eta))(X) + 3\|\nabla\eta\|^2\eta(X). \quad (4.1)$$

Then, replacing $X = \zeta$ and $Y = \varphi X$ in (vi) and using again (3.1), it follows $\nabla^*\nabla\eta = -\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}\eta)$. The remaining identity for $(\nabla^*\nabla F)(\varphi X, \varphi Y)$ is directly deduced from (vi).

For (v) implies (i). Since $\nabla^*\nabla\eta = -\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}\eta)$, we have $(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i} + \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i}\eta = 0$. Now, using (3.1), we can point out that, in general,

$$(\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}F))(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = (\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{e_i}F))(X, Y) - 3\eta \wedge (\xi_{e_i}\xi_{e_i}\eta) \circ \varphi(X, Y).$$

Making use of this identity, from (v) one deduces

$$-2((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i} + \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i})F = \eta \wedge ((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i} + \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i}\eta) \circ \varphi = 0.$$

But the map $A \rightarrow -F(A\cdot, \cdot) - F(\cdot, A\cdot)$, from $\mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(2n+1)$ to $\mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp \subseteq \Lambda^2 T^*M$, is an $U(n)$ -isomorphism. Therefore, $(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i} + \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i} = 0$. Thus we have (i).

For the equivalence between (iv) and (v). It is immediate that (v) implies (iv). Conversely, using (4.1), it is not hard to see that (iv) implies (v).

For the equivalence between (iii) and (v). Because $(\nabla_X F)(Y, Z) = \langle Y, (\nabla_X \varphi)Z \rangle$, it is direct to see that $(\nabla^*\nabla F)(X, Y) = \langle X, (\nabla^*\nabla \varphi)(Y) \rangle$. Then using this and (4.1), the equivalence follows. Finally, the equivalences between (ii) and (iii) and between (i) and (vii) are immediate.

The final remark included in the Theorem is an immediate consequence of the computation of $d^*\xi$ by using equation (3.3). $\square$

In next two results, for certain types of almost contact metric structures, we will deduce conditions characterising harmonic almost contact structures.

Theorem 4.3. *For a $2n+1$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \varphi, \zeta)$, we have:*

- (i) *If M is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = 0$ and $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = 0$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.*
- (ii) *For $n \neq 2$, if M is of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if*

$$(n-1)(n-5) \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = 2(n+1)(n-3) \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \\ \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = -2 \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i} \eta \rangle(X),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

- (iii) *For $n \neq 2$, if M is of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if*

$$(n-1)(n-5) \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = 2(n+1)(n-3) \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \\ \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = 2 \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i} \eta \rangle(X_{\zeta^\perp}),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

- (iv) *For $n \neq 2$, if M is of type $\mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if*

$$(n-1) \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = 2n \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \\ \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = -2 \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i} \eta \rangle(X),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

- (v) For $n \neq 2$, if M is of type $\mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if

$$(n-1) \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = 2n \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \quad \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = 2(\xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} \eta)(X),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

- (vi) If M is normal ($\mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$), then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if

$$\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = -2 \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \quad \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = -2(\xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} \eta)(X),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

- (vii) If M is of type $\mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if

$$\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = -2 \langle \xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \quad \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = 2(\xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} \eta)(X),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

- (viii) If M is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = 0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
- (ix) For $n \neq 2$, if M is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic if and only if $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = 0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

In particular:

- (i)* If M is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5, \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6, \mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \mathcal{C}_8 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic.
- (ii)* For $n \neq 2$, if M is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9$, then the almost contact metric structure is harmonic.

Corollary 4.4.

- (a) If an almost contact metric structure is of type $\mathcal{D}$, for $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \mathcal{C}_8 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then the characteristic vector field ζ is a harmonic unit vector field.
- (b) For a conformally flat manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ of dimension $2n+1$ with $n > 1$:
- (i) If an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9$, then it is harmonic.
- (ii) For $n > 2$, if an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, then it is harmonic.

In order to prove these last results, we will show some identities for almost contact metric structures which are analog to the one satisfied for almost Hermitian structures (see [17, p. 182] or [10, Equation (4.2)]). They are consequences from the fact $d^2F = 0$ and arise when we write d^2F by means of $\nabla^{U(n)}$ and ξ . For sake of simplicity, we will deduce such identities for almost contact metric structures of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, i.e. $\xi_\zeta = 0$. In fact, let us describe an expression for d^2F in terms of the intrinsic torsion. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_Y F)(Z, W) = \langle \xi_Y Z, \varphi W \rangle - \eta \odot (\xi_Y \eta) \odot \varphi(Z, W),$$

by alternating this identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} dF(Y, Z, W) = & \langle \xi_Y Z, \varphi W \rangle + \langle \xi_W Y, \varphi Z \rangle + \langle \xi_Z W, \varphi Y \rangle - \eta \odot (\xi_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(Z, W) \\ & - \eta \odot (\xi_W \eta) \circ \varphi(Y, Z) - \eta \odot (\xi_Z \eta) \circ \varphi(W, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking $\nabla = \nabla^{U(n)} - \xi$ into account, we have $d^2 F = \text{alt}(\nabla^{U(n)} dF) - \text{alt}(\xi dF)$, where alt is the alternation map. On the one hand, it is direct to check that $\text{alt}(\nabla^{U(n)} dF)$ is twice the alternation of the tensor H given by

$$H(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle (\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_Y Z, \varphi W \rangle + \eta \odot ((\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(Z, W). \quad (4.2)$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{alt}(\nabla^{U(n)} dF)(X, Y, Z, W) = & \langle (\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_Y Z, \varphi W \rangle + \langle (\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_Z W, \varphi Y \rangle + \langle (\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_W Y, \varphi Z \rangle - \langle (\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_X Z, \varphi W \rangle \\ & - \langle (\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_Z W, \varphi X \rangle - \langle (\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_W X, \varphi Z \rangle + \langle (\nabla_Z^{U(n)} \xi)_X Y, \varphi W \rangle + \langle (\nabla_Z^{U(n)} \xi)_Y W, \varphi X \rangle \\ & + \langle (\nabla_Z^{U(n)} \xi)_W X, \varphi Y \rangle - \langle (\nabla_W^{U(n)} \xi)_X Y, \varphi Z \rangle - \langle (\nabla_W^{U(n)} \xi)_Y Z, \varphi X \rangle - \langle (\nabla_W^{U(n)} \xi)_Z X, \varphi Y \rangle \\ & + \eta \odot ((\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(Z, W) + \eta \odot ((\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_Z \eta) \circ \varphi(W, Y) + \eta \odot ((\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_W \eta) \circ \varphi(Y, Z) \\ & - \eta \odot ((\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_X \eta) \circ \varphi(Z, W) - \eta \odot ((\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_Z \eta) \circ \varphi(W, X) - \eta \odot ((\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_W \eta) \circ \varphi(X, Z) \\ & + \eta \odot ((\nabla_Z^{U(n)} \xi)_X \eta) \circ \varphi(Y, W) + \eta \odot ((\nabla_Z^{U(n)} \xi)_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(W, X) + \eta \odot ((\nabla_Z^{U(n)} \xi)_W \eta) \circ \varphi(X, Y) \\ & - \eta \odot ((\nabla_W^{U(n)} \xi)_X \eta) \circ \varphi(Y, Z) - \eta \odot ((\nabla_W^{U(n)} \xi)_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(Z, X) - \eta \odot ((\nabla_W^{U(n)} \xi)_Z \eta) \circ \varphi(X, Y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, it is direct to see that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \text{alt}(\xi dF)(X, Y, Z, W) = & \langle \xi_X Y, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} Z, \varphi W \rangle + \langle \xi_X Z, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} W, \varphi Y \rangle + \langle \xi_X W, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} Y, \varphi Z \rangle \\ & - \langle \xi_Y X, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} Z, \varphi W \rangle - \langle \xi_Y Z, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} W, \varphi X \rangle - \langle \xi_Y W, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} X, \varphi Z \rangle \\ & + \langle \xi_Z W, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} X, \varphi Y \rangle + \langle \xi_Z X, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} Y, \varphi W \rangle + \langle \xi_Z Y, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} W, \varphi X \rangle \\ & - \langle \xi_W X, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} Y, \varphi Z \rangle - \langle \xi_W Y, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} Z, \varphi X \rangle - \langle \xi_W Z, e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{e_i} X, \varphi Y \rangle \\ & + (\xi_Y \eta)(Z)(\xi_X \eta) \circ \varphi(W) + (\xi_Z \eta)(W)(\xi_X \eta) \circ \varphi(Y) + (\xi_W \eta)(Y)(\xi_X \eta) \circ \varphi(Z) \\ & - (\xi_X \eta)(Z)(\xi_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(W) - (\xi_Z \eta)(W)(\xi_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(X) - (\xi_W \eta)(X)(\xi_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(Z) \\ & + (\xi_X \eta)(Y)(\xi_Z \eta) \circ \varphi(W) + (\xi_Y \eta)(W)(\xi_Z \eta) \circ \varphi(X) + (\xi_W \eta)(X)(\xi_Z \eta) \circ \varphi(Y) \\ & - (\xi_X \eta)(Y)(\xi_W \eta) \circ \varphi(Z) - (\xi_Y \eta)(Z)(\xi_W \eta) \circ \varphi(X) - (\xi_Z \eta)(X)(\xi_W \eta) \circ \varphi(Y) \\ & - (\xi_X(\xi_Y \eta)) \circ \varphi(Z) \eta(W) - (\xi_X(\xi_Z \eta)) \circ \varphi(W) \eta(Y) - (\xi_X(\xi_W \eta)) \circ \varphi(Y) \eta(Z) \\ & + (\xi_Y(\xi_X \eta)) \circ \varphi(Z) \eta(W) + (\xi_Y(\xi_Z \eta)) \circ \varphi(W) \eta(X) + (\xi_Y(\xi_W \eta)) \circ \varphi(X) \eta(Z) \\ & - (\xi_Z(\xi_X \eta)) \circ \varphi(Y) \eta(W) - (\xi_Z(\xi_Y \eta)) \circ \varphi(W) \eta(X) - (\xi_Z(\xi_W \eta)) \circ \varphi(X) \eta(Y) \\ & + (\xi_W(\xi_X \eta)) \circ \varphi(Y) \eta(Z) + (\xi_W(\xi_Y \eta)) \circ \varphi(Z) \eta(X) + (\xi_W(\xi_Z \eta)) \circ \varphi(X) \eta(Y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Now, for our purposes, we need to note that $d^2 F \in \Lambda^4 T^* M$ and $\Lambda^4 T^* M$ is equal to $[\lambda^{4,0}] \oplus [\lambda^{3,1}] \oplus [\lambda^{2,0}] \wedge F \oplus [\lambda_0^{2,2}] \oplus [\lambda_0^{1,1}] \wedge F \oplus \mathbb{R} F \wedge F \oplus [\lambda^{3,0}] \wedge \eta \oplus [\lambda_0^{2,1}] \wedge \eta \oplus [\lambda^{1,0}] \wedge F \wedge \eta$. We recall that $\lambda_0^{p,q}$ is a complex irreducible $U(n)$ -module coming from the (p, q) -part of the complex exterior algebra and its corresponding dominant weight in standard coordinates is given by $(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, -1, \dots, -1)$, where 1 and -1 are repeated p and q times, respectively. The

notation $\llbracket V \rrbracket$ means the real vector space underlying a complex vector space V and $[W]$ denotes a real vector space which admits W as its complexification.

From now on, we are assuming that the almost contact metric structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, i.e. $\xi_\zeta = 0$. Our purpose is to compute the $\llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket$ -part of $d^2 F$. This can be obtained from the two-form $\pi_{\llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket} \circ F_{12}(d^2 F)$, where F_{12} is the 1 2-contraction by F and $\pi_{\llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket}$ is the projection $\Lambda^2 T^* M \rightarrow \llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket$. Thus, from (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} F_{12}(d^2 F)(X, Y) = & -2\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} X, \varphi Y \rangle + 2\langle (\nabla_{\varphi e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_X Y, \varphi e_i \rangle - 2\langle (\nabla_{\varphi e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_Y X, \varphi e_i \rangle + 2\langle (\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \varphi e_i, Y \rangle \\ & - 2\langle (\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \varphi e_i, X \rangle - 2\langle \xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i, X, \varphi Y \rangle + 2\langle \xi_X e_i, \xi_{e_i} Y, \rangle - 2\langle \xi_Y e_i, \xi_{e_i} X \rangle \\ & - \eta(X) \left((\nabla_Y^{U(n)} \xi)_{e_i} \eta \right) (e_i) + \eta(Y) \left((\nabla_X^{U(n)} \xi)_{e_i} \eta \right) (e_i) - 2\eta \odot \left((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} \eta \right) \circ \varphi(X, Y) \\ & + \eta(X) \left((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_Y \eta \right) (e_i) + \eta(Y) \left((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_X \eta \right) (e_i) + 2\eta(X)(\xi_{e_i} Y \eta)(e_i) \\ & + 2(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(X)(\xi_{\varphi e_i} \eta) \circ \varphi(Y) - 2(\xi_{e_i}(\xi_Y \eta))(e_i) \eta(X) + 2(\xi_X \eta)(Y)(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(e_i) \\ & + 2(\xi_Y \eta)(e_i)(\xi_{\varphi e_i} \eta) \circ \varphi(X) + 2(\xi_{e_i}(\xi_{\varphi e_i} \eta)) \circ \varphi(X) \eta(Y) - (\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_X \eta) \circ \varphi(Y) \\ & + (\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_Y \eta) \circ \varphi(X) - 3(\xi_{\xi_X \zeta} \eta)(Y) + 3(\xi_{\xi_Y \zeta} \eta)(X). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore, $\pi_{\llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket} \circ F_{12}(d^2 F)$ is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \pi_{\llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket} \circ F_{12}(d^2 F)(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = & -2\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, \varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{\varphi e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} Y_{\zeta^\perp}, \varphi e_i \rangle - \langle (\nabla_{\varphi e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{Y_{\zeta^\perp}} X_{\zeta^\perp}, \varphi e_i \rangle \\ & - \langle (\nabla_{\varphi e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}} \varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}, \varphi e_i \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}, e_i \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{X_{\zeta^\perp}}^{U(n)} \xi)_{e_i} e_i, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\ & - \langle (\nabla_{Y_{\zeta^\perp}}^{U(n)} \xi)_{e_i} e_i, X_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle (\nabla_{\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}}^{U(n)} \xi)_{e_i} e_i, \varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}}^{U(n)} \xi)_{e_i} e_i, \varphi X_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\ & - 2\langle \xi_{e_i} \varphi e_i, X_{\zeta^\perp}, \varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} e_i, \xi_{e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{Y_{\zeta^\perp}} e_i, \xi_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\ & - \langle \xi_{\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}} e_i, \xi_{e_i} \varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}} e_i, \xi_{e_i} \varphi X_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + (\xi_{e_i} \eta)(X_{\zeta^\perp})(\xi_{\varphi e_i} \eta) \circ \varphi(Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \\ & - (\xi_{e_i} \eta)(Y_{\zeta^\perp})(\xi_{\varphi e_i} \eta) \circ \varphi(X_{\zeta^\perp}) + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(Y_{\zeta^\perp})(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(e_i) - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(X_{\zeta^\perp})(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(e_i) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp})(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(e_i) + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp})(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(e_i) - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_{e_i} \eta) \circ \varphi(X_{\zeta^\perp}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_{e_i} \eta) \circ \varphi(Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(e_i)(\xi_{\varphi e_i} \eta)(X_{\zeta^\perp}) - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(e_i)(\xi_{\varphi e_i} \eta)(Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta) \circ \varphi(Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_{Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta) \circ \varphi(X_{\zeta^\perp}) - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_{\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi e_i)(\xi_{\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(X_{\zeta^\perp}) - \frac{3}{2}(\xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(e_i)(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + \frac{3}{2}(\xi_{Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(e_i)(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(X_{\zeta^\perp}) \\ & + \frac{3}{2}(\xi_{\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(e_i)(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - \frac{3}{2}(\xi_{\varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta)(e_i)(\xi_{e_i} \eta)(\varphi X_{\zeta^\perp}). \end{aligned}$$

From this expression, taking into account the properties of the components $\xi_{(1)}, \dots, \xi_{(10)}$ of ξ (see [5]), one can obtain $\pi_{\llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket} \circ F_{12}(d^2 F)$ given in terms of $\xi_{(1)}, \dots, \xi_{(10)}$. We recall that if $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is a $U(n)$ -connection, then $\nabla^{U(n)} \xi_{(i)} \in \mathcal{C}_i$. Thus, we get $\frac{1}{4} \pi_{\llbracket \lambda^{2,0} \rrbracket} \circ F_{12}(d^2 F)$ which is the right side of next equation. Then we have

Lemma 4.5. *For almost contact metric structures of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, the following identity is satisfied*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & 3\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(1)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(3)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + (n-2)\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(4)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& + \langle \xi_{(3)} X_{\zeta^\perp} e_i, \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(3)} Y_{\zeta^\perp} e_i, \xi_{(1)e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(3)} X_{\zeta^\perp} e_i, \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(3)} Y_{\zeta^\perp} e_i, \xi_{(2)e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& - \frac{n-5}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(1)} \xi_{(4)e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \frac{n-2}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(2)} \xi_{(4)e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(3)} \xi_{(4)e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& + (n-2) \langle \xi_{(5)} e_i \eta \rangle \wedge \langle \xi_{(10)} e_i \eta \rangle (X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - 2 \langle \xi_{(8)} e_i \eta \rangle \wedge \langle \xi_{(10)} e_i \eta \rangle (X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \\
& + (n-2) \langle \xi_{(6)} e_i \eta \rangle \wedge \langle \xi_{(10)} e_i \eta \rangle (X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - 2 \langle \xi_{(7)} e_i \eta \rangle \wedge \langle \xi_{(10)} e_i \eta \rangle (X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to compute the $[[\lambda^{1,0}]]$ -part of d^2F , we replace $X = \zeta$ and $Y = Y_{\zeta^\perp}$ in (4.5). Thus, making extensive use of the properties of $\xi_{(1)}, \dots, \xi_{(10)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} F_{12}(d^2F)(\zeta, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = & \langle (\nabla_{\zeta}^{U(n)} \xi_{(4)})_{e_i} e_i, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + (n-2) \left(\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(5)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(6)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \right. \\
& - \left. \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(7)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - 2 \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(8)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(9)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \right. \\
& + 2 \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(10)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + 2 \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - 3 \langle \xi_{(10)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& + \langle \xi_{(5)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(7)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(8)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& - \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(10)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \frac{1}{2n} \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \varphi e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{(4)e_i} e_i, \varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(7)Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(4)e_i} e_i \rangle + \frac{n}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(8)Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(4)e_i} e_i \rangle + \frac{n-3}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(10)Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(4)e_i} e_i \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, by replacing $X = Y_{\zeta^\perp}$ and $Y = \zeta$, we will obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} F_{12}(d^2F)(Y_{\zeta^\perp}, \zeta) = & - \langle (\nabla_{\zeta}^{U(n)} \xi_{(4)})_{e_i} e_i, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - (n-1) \left(\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(5)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - 2 \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(6)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \right. \\
& - 2 \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(7)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(8)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + 2 \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(9)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \\
& - \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(10)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(7)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& - 2 \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(10)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(7)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& - \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + 2 \langle \xi_{(10)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(7)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& + \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(10)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{2n} \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \varphi e_i \rangle \langle \xi_{(4)e_i} e_i, \varphi Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \frac{1}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(7)Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(4)e_i} e_i \rangle + \frac{2}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(10)Y_{\zeta^\perp}} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(4)e_i} e_i \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Since $d^2F = 0$, from these two identities the last two identities included in next Lemma are immediate consequences. The first identity in the Lemma is obtained by summing the equations (4.6) and (4.7).

Lemma 4.6. *For almost contact metric manifolds of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, the following identity is satisfied*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & - \left(\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(5)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - 3 \left(\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(6)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - 3 \left(\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(7)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \right. \right. \right. \\
& - \left. \left(\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(8)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + 3 \left(\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(9)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(10)})_{e_i} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \right. \right. \\
& - \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(7)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(10)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(1)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& - \langle \xi_{(6)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle \xi_{(7)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(10)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(2)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& + \langle \xi_{(5)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(8)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle \xi_{(9)e_i} \eta \rangle \langle \xi_{(3)e_i} Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{n}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(8)\xi_{(4)e_i}} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) - \langle \xi_{(10)\xi_{(4)e_i}} \eta \rangle (Y_{\zeta^\perp}) \right. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if the almost contact metric manifold is of type $\mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (n-1)(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(5)})_{e_i} + 2(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(6)})_{e_i} + 2(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(7)})_{e_i} \\ &\quad - (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(8)})_{e_i} - 2(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(9)})_{e_i} + (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(10)})_{e_i}, \\ 0 &= (n-2)(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(5)})_{e_i} - (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(6)})_{e_i} - (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(7)})_{e_i} \\ &\quad - 2(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(8)})_{e_i} + (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(9)})_{e_i} + 2(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(10)})_{e_i}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following proofs below we will make extensive use of the identities contained in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6. Likewise, we will need to use the fact $\xi_{(4)}\xi_{e_i}e_i = 0$ satisfied by almost contact metric structures of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. For (i). The tensor ξ for almost contact metric structures of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$ is such that $\xi_\zeta = 0$, $\xi_{\varphi X}\varphi Y = -\xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}}Y_{\zeta^\perp}$ and $(\xi_{\varphi X}\eta)(\varphi Y) = (\xi_X\eta)(Y)$ [5]. Also in such a case, we have $\xi_{\xi_{e_i}\varphi e_i}\eta = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 (vii), (i) follows.

The proof for $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$ is similar. In this case we have $\xi_\zeta = 0$, $\xi_{\varphi X}\varphi Y = -\xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}}Y_{\zeta^\perp}$, $(\xi_{\varphi X}\eta)(\varphi Y) = -(\xi_X\eta)(Y)$ and $\xi_{\xi_{e_i}\varphi e_i}\eta = 0$.

For (ii). Because the structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, the identities in Lemmas 3.1 and 4.5 are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 3\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(1)})_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + (n-2)\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(4)})_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \quad (4.8) \\ &\quad - \frac{n-5}{n-1}\langle \xi_{(1)}\xi_{(4)e_i}e_iX_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) &= \langle \xi_{(1)\xi_{(4)e_i}e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(2)})_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \quad (4.9) \\ &\quad + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(4)})_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, the first one of the characterising conditions for harmonic almost contact structures given in Theorem 3.1 (vii) is expressed by

$$-\langle \xi_{(1)\xi_{(4)e_i}e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle = \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(1)})_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi_{(4)})_{e_i}X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle. \quad (4.10)$$

Now, for $n \geq 3$, it is straightforward to check that equations (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) imply the expression for $\text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}$ required in (ii).

On the other hand, for almost contact metric structures of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, we have $(\xi_{\varphi X}\eta)(\varphi Y) = (\xi_X\eta)(Y)$. Moreover, $\xi_{\xi_{e_i}\varphi e_i}\eta = \xi_{\xi_{(4)e_i}\varphi e_i}\eta = -\xi_{\varphi\xi_{e_i}e_i}\eta$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = ((\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i}\eta)(X) - (\xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i}\eta)(X).$$

Now, from the second condition in Theorem 4.1 (vii), it follows $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X) = -2(\xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i}\eta)(X)$.

Conversely, it is also direct to see that the expressions for Ric^{ac} in (ii) and equations (4.8) and (4.9) imply equation (4.10) and $(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)}\xi)_{e_i}\eta + \xi_{\xi_{e_i}e_i}\eta = 0$. Therefore, the almost contact metric structure is harmonic.

The proof for (iii) is similar to the one for (ii). The only difference is that in this case we have $(\xi_{\varphi X}\eta)(\varphi Y) = -(\xi_X\eta)(Y)$.

For (iv). If the structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$, then the identities in Lemmas 3.1 and 4.5 are given respectively by

$$0 = (n-2)\langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(4)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \frac{n-2}{n-1} \langle \xi_{(2)\xi_{(4)}e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\text{alt}}^{\text{ac}}(X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp}) = & \langle \xi_{(2)\xi_{(4)}e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle - \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(2)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle \\ & + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(4)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

For this case, the first condition given in Theorem 4.1 (vii) is expressed by

$$-\langle \xi_{(2)\xi_{(4)}e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle = \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(2)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi_{(4)})_{e_i} X_{\zeta^\perp}, Y_{\zeta^\perp} \rangle. \quad (4.13)$$

Now, for $n \geq 3$, it is straightforward to check that equations (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) imply the first required identity in (iv). The expression for $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, X)$ follows as in the proof for (ii).

Conversely, it is also direct to see that the expressions for Ric^{ac} in (iv) and equations (4.11) and (4.12) imply equation (4.13) and $(\nabla_{e_i}^{U(n)} \xi)_{e_i} \eta + \xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} \eta = 0$. Therefore, the almost contact metric structure is harmonic.

The proof for (v) is similar to the one for (iv). Note that in this case $(\xi_{\varphi X} \eta)(\varphi Y) = -(\xi_X \eta)(Y)$.

For (vi). The intrinsic torsion ξ for normal structures is such that $\xi_\zeta = 0$, $\xi_{\varphi X} \varphi Y = \xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} Y_{\zeta^\perp}$ and $(\xi_{\varphi X} \eta)(\varphi Y) = (\xi_X \eta)(Y)$ (see [5]). Therefore, the required identities in (vi) are immediate consequences of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 (vii).

The proof for (vii) is similar to the one for (vi). In this case we have $\xi_\zeta = 0$, $\xi_{\varphi X} \varphi Y = \xi_{X_{\zeta^\perp}} Y_{\zeta^\perp}$ and $(\xi_{\varphi X} \eta)(\varphi Y) = (\xi_X \eta)(Y)$.

Finally, (viii), (ix), (i)* and (ii)* are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.1 (vii), Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 4.4. The assertions are immediate consequences of Lemma 3.1, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3. $\square$

Now, we focus our attention on studying harmonicity of almost contact metric structures as a map into $\mathcal{SO}(M)/(U(n) \times 1)$. Results in that direction were already obtained by Vergara-Díaz and Wood [21]. We will complete such results by using tools here presented. In next Lemma, s^{ac} will denote the *ac-scalar curvature* defined by $s^{\text{ac}} = \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(e_i, e_i)$. If $\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2n} s^{\text{ac}} (\langle X, Y \rangle - \eta(X)\eta(Y))$, the almost contact metric manifold is said to be *weakly-ac-Einstein*. If s^{ac} is constant, a weakly-ac-Einstein is called *ac-Einstein*.

In Riemannian geometry, it is satisfied $2d^* \text{Ric} + ds = 0$, where s is the scalar curvature. The ac-analogue in almost contact metric geometry does not hold in general.

Lemma 4.7. *For almost contact metric manifolds of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2d^*(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X) + ds^{\text{ac}}(X) = & 2\langle R_{e_i, X}, \xi_{\varphi e_i} \varphi \rangle - 4\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X, \xi_{e_i} e_i) \\ & + 4\langle \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}, \xi_X^b \rangle - 2d^*F(\zeta) \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \varphi X), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X, Y) = \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(Y, X)$ and $\xi_X^b(Y, Z) = \langle \xi_X Y, Z \rangle$. In particular, if the manifold is weakly-ac-Einstein, then

$$(n-1)ds^{\text{ac}}(X) + (ds^{\text{ac}}(\zeta) + s^{\text{ac}} d^* \eta) \eta(X) = 2n\langle R_{(e_i, X)}, \xi_{\varphi e_i} \varphi \rangle - 2s^{\text{ac}} \langle \xi_{e_i} e_i, X \rangle.$$

Proof. Note that $(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} Y, \varphi X \rangle$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d^*(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X) &= -(\nabla_{e_j}(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(e_j, X)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}e_j\langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} X, \varphi e_j \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} \nabla_{e_j} X, \varphi e_j \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} X, \varphi \nabla_{e_j} e_j \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle (\nabla_{e_j} R)_{e_i, \varphi e_i} X, \varphi e_j \rangle - \langle R_{\nabla_{e_j} e_i, \varphi e_i} X, \varphi e_j \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} X, (\nabla_{e_j} \varphi) e_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $(\nabla_{e_j} \varphi)(e_j) = 2\varphi \xi_{e_j} e_j + d^* F(\zeta) \zeta$, using symmetry properties of R , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d^*(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X) &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle (\nabla_{e_j} R)_{X, \varphi e_j} e_i, \varphi e_i \rangle + \langle R_{X, e_j} e_i, \nabla_{\varphi e_j} \varphi e_i \rangle - \langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} X, \varphi \xi_{e_j} e_j \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} d^* F(\zeta) \langle R_{(e_i, \varphi e_i)} X, \zeta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianchi's identity and taking into account

$$\langle R_{X, e_j} e_i, \nabla_{\varphi e_j} \varphi e_i \rangle = \langle R_{X, e_j} e_i, \nabla_{\varphi e_j}^{U(n)} \varphi e_i \rangle - \langle R_{X, e_j} e_i, \xi_{\varphi e_j} \varphi e_i \rangle,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} d^*(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X) &= -\frac{1}{4}\langle (\nabla_X R)_{e_j, \varphi e_j} e_i, \varphi e_i \rangle - \langle R_{X, e_j}, \xi_{\varphi e_j} \varphi \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X, \xi_{e_j} e_j) - d^* F(\zeta) \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \varphi X). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\langle R_{X, e_j} e_i, \nabla_{\varphi e_j}^{U(n)} \varphi e_i \rangle = \langle R_{X, e_j} e_i, e_k \rangle \langle \nabla_{\varphi e_j}^{U(n)} \varphi e_i, e_k \rangle = 0,$$

because it is a scalar product of a skew-symmetric matrix by a symmetric matrix.

Finally, it is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} 2d^*(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X) &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle (\nabla_X R)_{e_j, J e_j} e_i, \varphi e_i \rangle - 2\langle R_{X, e_j}, \xi_{\varphi e_j} \varphi \rangle \\ &\quad - 4\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X, \xi_{e_j} e_j) - 2d^* F(\zeta) \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \varphi X). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

In a second instance, ones obtains $ds^{\text{ac}}(X) = \frac{1}{2}X\langle R_{e_i, J e_i} e_j, J e_j \rangle$. Hence

$$ds^{\text{ac}}(X) = \frac{1}{2}\langle (\nabla_X R)_{e_i, \varphi e_i} e_j, \varphi e_j \rangle + 2\langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} e_j, \nabla_X \varphi e_j \rangle.$$

But we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} e_j, \nabla_X \varphi e_j \rangle &= \langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} e_j, e_k \rangle \langle \nabla_X^{U(n)} \varphi e_j, e_k \rangle - \langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} e_j, e_k \rangle \langle \xi_X \varphi e_j, e_k \rangle \\ &= \langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} e_j, \varphi \xi_X e_j \rangle + \eta(\xi_X \varphi e_j) \langle R_{e_i, \varphi e_i} \zeta, e_j \rangle \\ &= 2\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(e_i, \xi_X e_i) - 2\eta(\xi_X \varphi e_j) \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \varphi e_j) \\ &= 2\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(e_i, \xi_X e_i) + 2\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \xi_X \zeta) \\ &= 2\langle \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}, \xi_X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$ds^{\text{ac}}(X) = \frac{1}{2}\langle (\nabla_X R)_{e_i, \varphi e_i} e_j, \varphi e_j \rangle + 4\langle \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}, \xi_X^\flat \rangle. \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.14) and (4.15), the required identity is obtained. $\square$

Theorem 4.8. *For an $2n+1$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \varphi, \zeta)$, we have:*

- (i) *If M is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$ or $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then the almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map if and only if it is a harmonic structure and $2d^* \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} + ds^{\text{ac}} = 0$. In particular:*

- (a) If the structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$ and the manifold is weakly-ac-Einstein, then the almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map if and only if s^{ac} satisfies $n ds^{\text{ac}} = s^{\text{ac}} d^* \eta \eta = -s^{\text{ac}} \xi_{e_i}^b e_i$.
- (b) If the structure is of type $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}, \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$ and the manifold is weakly-ac-Einstein, then the almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map if and only if s^{ac} is constant.
- (c) If the manifold is nearly-K-cosymplectic ($\mathcal{C}_1$), then almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map.
- (ii) If M is of type $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_8$ or $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10}$, then the almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map if and only if it is a harmonic structure and

$$2d^*(\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})^t(X) + ds^{\text{ac}}(X) + 4\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X, \xi_{e_j} e_j) - 4\langle \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}, \xi_X^b \rangle + 2d^*F(\zeta) \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(\zeta, \varphi X) = 0,$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In particular,

- (a)* If Ric^{ac} is symmetric, then the almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map if and only if $\xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} = 0$ and $2d^* \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} + ds^{\text{ac}} + 4\xi_{e_i} e_i \lrcorner \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} = 0$. Furthermore, if the manifold is weakly-ac-Einstein, then the almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map if and only if $\xi_{\xi_{e_i} e_i} = 0$ and $(n-1)ds^{\text{ac}} + (ds^{\text{ac}}(\zeta) - s^{\text{ac}} d^* \eta) \eta + 2s^{\text{ac}} \xi_{e_i}^b e_i = 0$.
- (b)* If the almost contact metric structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_i$, $i = 6, 7, 10$, then the structure is a harmonic map if and only if

$$2d^* \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} + ds^{\text{ac}} = 0.$$

Furthermore, if the manifold is also weakly-ac-Einstein, then the almost contact metric structure is a harmonic map if and only if s^{ac} is constant.

- (c)* For $n \neq 2$, if the almost contact metric structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_i$, $i = 5, 6, 7, 9$, then the structure is a harmonic map if and only if

$$2d^* \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} + ds^{\text{ac}} + 4\xi_{e_i} e_i \lrcorner \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} = 0.$$

Proof. Most of the results contained in Theorem are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.7. $\square$

Remark 4.9. If a nearly-K-cosymplectic structure is flat, then it is cosymplectic, i.e. $\xi = 0$. In fact, in [21] it is shown that $[\mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp, \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}(n)$ and, for nearly-K-cosymplectic structures, $\xi_X \in \mathfrak{u}(n)_{|\zeta^\perp}^\perp$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.3 (i), the assertion follows.

5. ALMOST CONTACT METRIC STRUCTURES WITH MINIMAL ENERGY

In this section we will apply the simple and elegant argument used by Bör et al. in [4] to almost contact metric manifolds. For oriented and compact Riemannian manifolds $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ of dimension n equipped with a G -structure, $G \subseteq SO(n)$ and a differential p -form ϕ preserved by the action of G , the following Bochner type formula was deduced in [3]

$$\int_M \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \|d\phi\|^2 + p \|d^* \phi\|^2 - \|\nabla \phi\|^2 \right) = \int_M \langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \phi, \phi \rangle, \quad (5.1)$$

where the volume form dv is omitted for sake of simplicity and the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is defined as follows. We firstly remind that, for a covariant p -tensor α , we denote

$$\text{alt } \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{\tau} \text{sign}(\tau) \alpha(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(p)}),$$

where the sum is extended on the set of permutations τ of $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\text{sign}(\tau)$ denotes the signature of τ . If ϕ is a skew-symmetric p -form, we write

$$(R^c \phi)(x_1, \dots, x_p) = (R_{x_1, e_i} \phi)(e_i, x_2, \dots, x_p).$$

That is, the operator $R_{x,y}$ acting on the p -form ϕ in the usual way and then doing the indicated contraction. Finally, it is defined

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\phi) = \text{alt}(R^c \phi).$$

Remark 5.1. The constant coefficients in the identity are different in [3]. This is due to the different conventions followed by us for the wedge product, the scalar product of p -forms, etc.

In the context of almost contact metric geometry, since $\langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}} F, F \rangle = 2(s - s^{\text{ac}} - \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta))$, the Bochner type formula for the fundamental two-form F is given by

$$\int_M \left(\frac{1}{3} \|dF\|^2 + 2 \|d^* F\|^2 - \|\nabla F\|^2 \right) = 2 \int_M (s - s^{\text{ac}} - \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta)).$$

Moreover, since $\langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \eta, \eta \rangle = \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta)$, for the characteristic one-form η we have

$$\int_M \left(\frac{1}{2} \|d\eta\|^2 + \|d^* \eta\|^2 - \|\nabla \eta\|^2 \right) = \int_M \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta).$$

From the expression for the intrinsic torsion given by equation (3.2), it follows that

$$4\|\xi\|^2 = \|\nabla F\|^2 + 6\|\nabla \eta\|^2.$$

We recall that $\nabla F \in \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$, $\nabla \eta \in \mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$. Taking this into account and the properties of a tensor in the module $\mathcal{C}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 12$, (see [5]), it is not hard to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 4\|\xi_{(i)}\|^2 &= \|(\nabla F)_{(i)}\|^2, & \|(\nabla \eta)_{(i)}\|^2 &= 0, & i &= 1, 2, 3, 4, 11; \\ \|\xi_{(i)}\|^2 &= \|(\nabla F)_{(i)}\|^2, & 2\|(\nabla \eta)_{(i)}\|^2 &= \|\xi_{(i)}\|^2, & i &= 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we consider the decomposition

$$\Lambda^3 T^* M = [\lambda^{3,0}] \oplus [\lambda_0^{2,1}] \oplus [\lambda^{1,0}] \wedge F \oplus \mathbb{R} F \wedge \eta \oplus [\lambda_0^{1,1}] \wedge \eta \oplus [\lambda^{2,0}] \wedge \eta,$$

and the $U(n)$ -map $\text{alt} : T^* M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp \rightarrow \Lambda^3 T^* M$, the exterior derivative $dF = \text{alt}(\nabla F)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_1^a \oplus \mathcal{C}_3^a \oplus \mathcal{C}_4^a \oplus \mathcal{C}_5^a \oplus \mathcal{C}_8^a \oplus \mathcal{C}_{10,11}^a$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^a = [\lambda^{3,0}] = \text{alt}(\mathcal{C}_1), \quad \mathcal{C}_3^a = [\lambda_0^{2,1}] = \text{alt}(\mathcal{C}_3), \quad \mathcal{C}_4^a = [\lambda^{1,0}] \wedge F = \text{alt}(\mathcal{C}_4),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_5^a = \mathbb{R} F \wedge \eta = \text{alt}(\mathcal{C}_5), \quad \mathcal{C}_8^a = [\lambda_0^{1,1}] \wedge \eta = \text{alt}(\mathcal{C}_8), \quad \mathcal{C}_{10,11}^a = [\lambda^{2,0}] \wedge \eta = \text{alt}(\mathcal{C}_{10} + \mathcal{C}_{11}).$$

To be more precise, the component of dF in $\mathcal{C}_{10,11}^a$ is given by

$$(dF)_{(10,11)} = -\eta \wedge (2(\nabla \eta)_{(10)} \circ \varphi + \varphi(\nabla_\zeta F)).$$

Remark 5.2. Note that $\varphi(\nabla_\zeta F) = \varphi(\nabla_\zeta F)_{(11)} = -(\nabla_\zeta F)_{(11)}$. So that, if an almost contact metric structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{11}$ such that $\nabla \eta \circ \varphi = \nabla_\zeta F$, then $dF = 0$.

Now using the properties satisfied by the different components of ∇F (see again [5]), we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \|(dF)_{(1)}\|^2 &= 9\|(\nabla F)_{(1)}\|^2; \quad \|(dF)_{(i)}\|^2 = 3\|(\nabla F)_{(i)}\|^2, \quad i = 3, 4; \\ \|(dF)_{(i)}\|^2 &= 6\|(\nabla F)_{(i)}\|^2, \quad i = 5, 8; \quad \|(dF)_{(10,11)}\|^2 = 3\|2(\nabla\eta)_{(10)} \circ \varphi - (\nabla_\zeta F)_{(11)}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us also recall that $\|(\nabla_\zeta F)_{(11)}\|^2 = \|(\nabla F)_{(11)}\|^2$.

Next we consider the $U(n)$ -map $c_{12} : T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp \rightarrow T^*M$ defined by the contraction $c_{12}(a)(X) = a(e_i, e_i, X)$. The coderivative $d^*F = -c_{12}(\nabla F)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{4,12}^c \oplus \mathcal{C}_6^c$, where $\mathcal{C}_{4,12}^c = \eta^\perp = c_{12}(\mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12})$ and $\mathcal{C}_6^c = \mathbb{R}\eta = c_{12}(\mathcal{C}_6)$. In fact, $(d^*F)_{(4,12)} = -e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F)_{(4)} + (\nabla_\zeta \eta)_{(12)} \circ \varphi$ and $(d^*F)_{(6)} = d^*F(\zeta)\eta$.

Remark 5.3. Note that if an almost contact metric structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$ such that $e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F)_{(4)} = (\nabla_\zeta \eta)_{(12)} \circ \varphi$ or, equivalently, $\varphi e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{\varphi e_i} F) = (\nabla_\zeta \eta) \circ \varphi$, then $d^*F = 0$.

Now using the properties of the different components of ∇F , we have

$$\|(d^*F)_{(4,12)}\|^2 = \|-e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F)_{(4)} + (\nabla_\zeta \eta)_{(12)} \circ \varphi\|^2, \quad \|(d^*F)_{(6)}\|^2 = n\|(\nabla F)_{(6)}\|^2.$$

We will also recall that $\|e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F)_{(4)}\|^2 = \frac{n-1}{2}\|(\nabla F)_{(4)}\|^2$ and $\|(\nabla\eta)_{(12)}\|^2 = \|(\nabla_\zeta \eta)_{(12)}\|^2$.

Now we will analyse the exterior derivative of the characteristic one-form η . The decomposition of the space of skew-symmetric two-forms is given by $\Lambda^2 T^*M = \mathbb{R}F \oplus \left[\lambda_0^{1,1} \right] \oplus \left[\lambda^{2,0} \right] \oplus \eta \wedge \eta^\perp$, where $\mathbb{R}F \cong \mathcal{C}_6$, $\left[\lambda_0^{1,1} \right] \cong \mathcal{C}_7$, $\left[\lambda^{2,0} \right] \cong \mathcal{C}_{10}$ and $\eta \wedge \eta^\perp \cong \mathcal{C}_{12}$. Therefore, making use of the alternating map $\text{alt} : \otimes^2 T^*M \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*M$, for the exterior derivative $d\eta = \text{alt}(\nabla\eta)$, we have

$$\|(d\eta)_{(i)}\|^2 = 4\|(\nabla\eta)_{(i)}\|^2, \quad i = 6, 7, 10; \quad \|(d\eta)_{(12)}\|^2 = 2\|(\nabla\eta)_{(12)}\|^2.$$

Finally, it is easy to see that $d^*\eta = -(\nabla_{e_i} \eta)_{(5)} e_i$. Therefore, $(d^*\eta)^2 = 2n\|(\nabla\eta)_{(5)}\|^2$.

Taking all of this into account, we obtain the following Bochner type formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (8\|\xi_{(1)}\|^2 - 4\|\xi_{(2)}\|^2 + \|\xi_{(5)}\|^2 + (2n-1)\|\xi_{(6)}\|^2 - \|\xi_{(7)}\|^2 + \|\xi_{(8)}\|^2 - \|\xi_{(9)}\|^2 - \|\xi_{(10)}\|^2 \\ - 4\|\xi_{(11)}\|^2 - \|\xi_{(12)}\|^2 + \|2(\nabla\eta)_{(10)} \circ \varphi - (\nabla_\zeta F)_{(11)}\|^2 + 2\|-e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F)_{(4)} + (\nabla_\zeta \eta)_{(12)} \circ \varphi\|^2) \\ = 2 \int_M (s - s^{\text{ac}} - \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\int_M ((2n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}\|^2 + \|\xi_{(6)}\|^2 + \|\xi_{(7)}\|^2 - \|\xi_{(8)}\|^2 - \|\xi_{(9)}\|^2 + \|\xi_{(10)}\|^2) = 2 \int_M \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta). \quad (5.3)$$

Now restricting our attention on conformally flat manifolds, we display the next result where we will denote by $\varphi_\sigma, F_\sigma, \eta_\sigma, \zeta_\sigma$ and $\xi_{(i)}(\sigma)$ the corresponding tensors associated to an almost contact metric structure σ .

Theorem 5.4. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a $2n+1$ -dimensional conformally flat compact Riemannian manifold, where $n > 1$. If s is the scalar curvature and $C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} = \int_M s$, that is, a constant*

depending on the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, then every almost contact metric structure σ compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} &= \int_M \left(4\|\xi_{(1)}(\sigma)\|^2 - 2\|\xi_{(2)}(\sigma)\|^2 + (n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \frac{(2n+1)(n-1)}{2n-1} \|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2n-1} \|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2n-1} \|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma)\|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} \|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2n-1} \|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma)\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\|\xi_{(11)}(\sigma)\|^2 - \|\xi_{(12)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|2(\nabla \eta_\sigma)_{(10)} \circ \varphi_\sigma - (\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} F_\sigma)_{(11)}\|^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_{e_i} F_\sigma\|_4^2 + (\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} \eta_\sigma)_{(12)} \circ \varphi_\sigma \|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Moreover:

- (i) If σ_0 is an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4$ and $n = 3$, then σ_0 is an energy minimiser such that its total bending is $B(\sigma_0) = \frac{1}{10} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Furthermore, in this situation any other energy minimiser is of type $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_4$.
- (ii) If $n = 2$ or $n \geq 4$, and σ_0 is an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of type $\mathcal{C}_4$, then σ_0 is an energy minimiser such that its total bending is $B(\sigma_0) = \frac{1}{2(2n-1)} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Furthermore, in this situation any other energy minimiser is of type $\mathcal{C}_4$.
- (iii) If σ_0 is an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of type $\mathcal{C}_2$, then σ_0 is an energy minimiser such that its total bending is $B(\sigma_0) = -\frac{n-1}{2(2n-1)} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Furthermore, in this situation any other energy minimiser is of type $\mathcal{C}_2$.

Proof. If $n > 1$ and M is conformally flat, the Ricci curvature tensor completely determines the Riemannian curvature R . Thus we have

$$R = \frac{1}{2n-1} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{Ric} - \frac{s}{4n(2n-1)} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$$

We recall that $\otimes$ is the Kulkarni-Nomizu product defined by equation (3.5). Therefore, for the almost contact Ricci tensor we have

$$\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} = \frac{1}{2n-1} ((1 + \varphi) \text{Ric} - (\zeta \lrcorner \text{Ric}) \otimes \eta - \frac{s}{2n} (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle - \eta \otimes \eta)).$$

Hence the almost contact scalar curvature is given by $s^{\text{ac}} = \frac{1}{2n-1} (s - 2 \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta))$. As a consequence,

$$s - s^{\text{ac}} - \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta) = \frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} s - \frac{2n-3}{2n-1} \text{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta).$$

Now using the Bochner type formulas (5.2) and (5.3), we get the equation (5.4).

For (i) and (ii). If $n > 3$ and σ_0 is of type $\mathcal{C}_4$, then for any almost contact metric structure σ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(n-1) \int_M \|\xi(\sigma)\|^2 &\geq \int_M \left(4\|\xi_{(1)}(\sigma)\|^2 - 2\|\xi_{(2)}(\sigma)\|^2 + 2(n-1)\|\xi_{(4)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad + (n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma)\|^2 + (n - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2n-1} \|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma)\|^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2n-1} \|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma)\|^2 - (1 - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma)\|^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} = 2(n-1) \int_M \|\xi_{(4)}(\sigma_0)\|^2 \\ &= 2(n-1) \int_M \|\xi(\sigma_0)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma)\|^2 + 2\|\xi_{(11)}(\sigma)\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\|2(\nabla\eta_\sigma)_{(10)} \circ \varphi_\sigma\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|(\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} F_\sigma)_{(11)}\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|2(\nabla\eta_\sigma)_{(10)} \circ \varphi_\sigma - (\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} F_\sigma)_{(11)}\|^2, \\ 2(n-1)\|\xi_{(4)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\xi_{(12)}(\sigma)\|^2 &= \|e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F_\sigma)_{(4)}\|^2 + \|(\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} \eta_\sigma)_{(12)} \circ \varphi_\sigma\|^2 \\ &\geq \|-e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F_\sigma)_{(4)} + (\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} \eta_\sigma)_{(12)} \circ \varphi_\sigma\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also note that $\xi_{(1)}(\sigma)$ only appears when $n \geq 3$. Therefore, the case $n = 2$ follows by a similar discussion.

Finally, if σ_1 is a almost contact metric structure which is an energy minimiser, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} &\leq \int_M \left(4\|\xi_{(1)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 - 2\|\xi_{(2)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2(n-1)\|\xi_{(4)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + (n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (n - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2(n-1) \int_M \|\xi(\sigma_1)\|^2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, the inequalities are really equalities. As a consequence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M \left(2(n-3)\|\xi_{(1)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2n\|\xi_{(2)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2(n-1)\|\xi_{(3)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + (n-2 + \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + (2(n-1) + \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2(n-1) - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + (2n-1 - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2n-3 + \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2(n-1)\|\xi_{(11)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2(n-1)\|\xi_{(12)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since for $n > 1$ and $n \neq 3$, all the coefficients are positive, it is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(1)}(\sigma_1) &= \xi_{(2)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(3)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(5)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(6)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(7)}(\sigma_1) \\ &= \xi_{(8)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(9)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(10)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(11)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(12)}(\sigma_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the structure σ_1 is of type $\mathcal{C}_4$. The proof for $n = 3$ of the analogous assertion can be similarly done.

For (iii). If σ_0 is an almost contact metric structure of type $\mathcal{C}_2$, then for any almost contact metric structure σ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_M \|\xi(\sigma)\|^2 &\geq \int_M \left(-4\|\xi_{(1)}(\sigma)\|^2 + 2\|\xi_{(2)}(\sigma)\|^2 - (n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma)\|^2 - (n - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma)\|^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{2n-1})\|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma)\|^2 + 2\|\xi_{(11)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \|\xi_{(12)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\|2(\nabla\eta_\sigma)_{(10)} \circ \varphi_\sigma - (\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} F_\sigma)_{(11)}\|^2 - \|-e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F_\sigma)_{(4)} + (\nabla_{\zeta_\sigma} \eta_\sigma)_{(12)} \circ \varphi_\sigma\|^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} = \int_M \|\xi_{(2)}(\sigma_0)\|^2 = \int_M \|\xi(\sigma_0)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If σ_1 is a almost contact metric structure which is an energy minimiser, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_M \|\xi(\sigma_1)\|^2 &= -\frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \\
&\geq \int_M \left(-4\|\xi_{(1)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2\|\xi_{(2)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 - (n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 - \left(n - \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)\|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)\|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2n-1}\|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2\|\xi_{(11)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + \|\xi_{(12)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\|2(\nabla\eta)_{(10)} \circ \varphi - (\nabla_\zeta F)_{(11)}\|^2 - \|-e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F)_{(4)} + (\nabla_\zeta \eta)_{(12)} \circ \varphi\|^2 \right) \\
&= -\frac{2(n-1)}{2n-1} C_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the inequality is really an equality. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_M \left(6\|\xi_{(1)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2\|\xi_{(3)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + 2\|\xi_{(4)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + (n+1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad + \left(n + 2 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)\|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)\|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + \left(2 + \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)\|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)\|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)\|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 + \|\xi_{(12)}(\sigma_1)\|^2 \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}\|2(\nabla\eta)_{(10)} \circ \varphi - (\nabla_\zeta F)_{(11)}\|^2 + \|-e_i \lrcorner (\nabla_{e_i} F)_{(4)} + (\nabla_\zeta \eta)_{(12)} \circ \varphi\|^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since for $n > 1$ all the coefficients are again positive, it is obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{(1)}(\sigma_1) &= \xi_{(3)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(4)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(5)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(6)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(7)}(\sigma_1) \\
&= \xi_{(8)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(9)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(10)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(11)}(\sigma_1) = \xi_{(12)}(\sigma_1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that the structure σ_1 is of type $\mathcal{C}_2$. $\square$

Finally, we consider the situation for compact Einstein manifolds of dimension $2n + 1$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a $2n + 1$ -dimensional compact Einstein manifold. If s is the scalar curvature, then every almost contact structure σ compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \left((2n-1)\|\xi_{(5)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \|\xi_{(6)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \|\xi_{(7)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right. \\
\left. - \|\xi_{(8)}(\sigma)\|^2 - \|\xi_{(9)}(\sigma)\|^2 + \|\xi_{(10)}(\sigma)\|^2 \right) &= \frac{2s}{2n+1} \text{Vol}(M).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Moreover:

- (i) For $n = 1$, if σ_0 is an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of type $\mathcal{C}_5 \oplus \mathcal{C}_6$ (trans-Sasakian), then σ_0 is an energy minimiser such that its total bending is $B(\sigma_0) = \frac{2}{3} \text{Vol}(M)$. Furthermore, in this situation any other energy minimiser is trans-Sasakian.
- (ii) For $n > 1$, if σ_0 is an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of type $\mathcal{C}_5$ (α -Kenmotsu, where $2n\alpha = -d^*\eta_{\sigma_0}$), then σ_0 is an energy minimiser such that its total bending is $B(\sigma_0) = \frac{s}{4n^2-1} \text{Vol}(M)$. Furthermore, in this situation any other energy minimiser is of type α -Kenmotsu with $2n \int_M \alpha^2 = \frac{s}{4n^2-1} \text{Vol}(M)$.
- (iii) If σ_0 is an almost contact structure compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of type $\mathcal{C}_8 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9$, such that its total bending is $B(\sigma_0) = -\frac{s}{2n+1} \text{Vol}(M)$. Furthermore, in this situation any other energy minimiser is of type $\mathcal{C}_8 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9$.

Proof. Equation (5.5) follows from the equation (5.3). The remaining assertions can be deduced by using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5.4. $\square$

6. EXAMPLES

Odd dimensional spheres. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the $n+1$ -dimensional complex space equipped the natural Kähler structure denoted by $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$. If we consider the sphere $S^{2n+1}(r)$ of radius r and centered at the origin and U is a unit normal vector field on $S^{2n+1}(r)$, then there is an α -Sasakian structure on $S^{2n+1}(r)$ fixing the restrictions of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the tangent projection $\tan \circ J$ to $S^{2n+1}(r)$ as metric and $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, respectively, and $\zeta = JU$ as characteristic vector field. This example for $r = 1$ have been shown by Yano and Kon in [25]. In this situation

$$a^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}, \quad \text{Ric} = \frac{2n}{r^2} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \quad \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} = \frac{1}{r^2} (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle - \eta \otimes \eta).$$

Hence $S^{2n+1}(r)$ is ac-Einstein. Now, using Theorem 4.8 (i) (b), the $\pm \frac{1}{r}$ -Sasakian structure is a harmonic map.

For $n = 1$, the $\pm \frac{1}{r}$ -Sasakian structure is an energy minimiser by Theorem 5.5. The energy of this structure is $\frac{2}{3}\pi r(3r^2 + 4)$.

The product manifold $S^6 \times S^1$. It is well known that S^6 can be endowed by an nearly Kähler structure by means of the round metric and the standard integrable G_2 -structure on $\mathbb{R}^7$ [12, 13]. Now, we consider the product manifold $S^6 \times S^1$. Taking the product metric, the unit tangent vector ζ defined by the Maurer-Cartan form on S^1 and the $(1, 1)$ tensor field φ defined by the almost complex structure on S^6 and $\varphi\zeta = 0$, we will obtain an almost contact metric structure σ on $S^6 \times S^1$ of type $\mathcal{C}_1$. Since $S^6 \times S^1$ is conformally flat, the structure σ is an energy minimiser by Theorem 5.4.

The product manifold $S^{2m+1} \times S^1 \times S^1$. Hopf manifolds are diffeomorphic to $S^{2m+1} \times S^1$ and admit a locally conformal Kähler structure (type $\mathcal{W}_4$ in Gray-Hervella's terms) with parallel Lee form θ [20] (see [14] for the classification of almost Hermitian structures). In general, if we consider a 1-Sasakian manifold M of dimension $2n+1$, then the product manifold $M \times S^1$ can be equipped with a locally conformal Kähler structure. Now, if one proceed as in the previous example, an almost contact metric structure σ of type $\mathcal{C}_4$ can be defined on $S^{2m+1} \times S^1 \times S^1$. Since $S^{2m+1} \times S^1 \times S^1$ is conformally flat, the structure σ is an energy minimiser by Theorem 5.4.

The hyperbolic space. Let $(\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Poincaré half-space, i.e.

$$\mathbb{H}^{2n+1} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \mid x_1 > 0\}$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Riemannian metric given by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = (cx_1)^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (dx_i)^2$, $c > 0$. It has constant curvature $-c^2$. Moreover, $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}$ can be considered as the Lie group with the following product

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \cdot (y_1, \dots, y_{2n+1}) = (x_1 y_1, x_1 y_2 + x_2, \dots, x_1 y_{2n+1} + x_{2n+1}).$$

So we have a solvable Lie group which is a semi-direct product of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ and the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ becomes into a left-invariant metric. In fact, the vector fields

$$X_i = cx_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n+1,$$

form an orthonormal basis of left-invariant vector fields and the bracket satisfies $[X_1, X_j] = cX_j$, $j = 2, \dots, 2n+1$, the other brackets being zero. Then the Levi Civita connection with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is determined by

$$\nabla_{X_j} X_j = cX_1, \quad \nabla_{X_j} X_1 = -cX_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n+1, \quad (6.1)$$

being zero the remaining covariant derivatives with respect to this basis.

Proposition 6.1. *Any left-invariant almost contact structure (φ, ζ, η) on $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}$ compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with $\zeta = X_1$ is a harmonic structure of type $\mathcal{C}_5$ but it does not determine a harmonic map.*

Proof. From (6.1) we obtain that this structure is of type $\mathcal{C}_5$ and so, it is harmonic by using Theorem 4.3. Moreover, because $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}$ has constant sectional curvature, it follows that it is ac-Einstein and $s^{\text{ac}} = -2nc^2$. Hence, taking into account that $d^*\eta = 2nc$ does not vanish, Theorem 4.8 (i) (a) implies that it does not determine a harmonic map. $\square$

The generalised Heisenberg groups $H(1, r)$. Now we consider some special classes of Lie groups which play an important rôle in geometry. Namely, the generalised Heisenberg groups $H(1, r)$ introduced in [15] and the ones in the sense of Kaplan $H(p, 1)$ [16]. The Lie group $H(1, r)$ consists of matrices

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & A^t & B^t \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_r denotes the identity matrix of type $r \times r$, $A = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $B = (b_1, \dots, b_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $H(1, r)$ is connected, simply connected, nilpotent, of dimension $2r+1$ and its center is of dimension r . The following coordinates (x^i, x^{r+i}, z) , $1 \leq i \leq r$, provide a system of global coordinates on $H(1, r)$:

$$x^i(a) = a_i, \quad x^{r+i}(a) = b_i, \quad z(a) = c$$

and a basis of left-invariant one-forms is given by $\alpha_i = dx^i$, $\alpha_{r+i} = dx^{r+i} - x^i dz$, $\gamma = dz$. For the dual left-invariant vector fields we then have

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad X_{r+i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{r+i}}, \quad Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_{j=1}^r x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{r+j}}.$$

On $H(1, r)$ we consider the Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for which these vector fields form an orthonormal basis. Then, the corresponding Levi Civita connection is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_i} X_{r+i} &= \nabla_{X_{r+i}} X_i = -\frac{1}{2}Z, \\ \nabla_{X_i} Z &= -\nabla_Z X_i = \frac{1}{2}X_{r+i}, \\ \nabla_{X_{r+i}} Z &= \nabla_Z X_{r+i} = \frac{1}{2}X_i, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where the remaining covariant derivatives with respect to this basis vanish. For the nonvanishing components of the curvature tensor R we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(X_i X_j, X_{r+i}, X_{r+j}) &= -\frac{1}{4}, \quad i \neq j, & R(X_i, X_{r+j}, X_j, X_{r+i}) &= \frac{1}{4}, \\ R(X_i, Z, X_i, Z) &= -\frac{3}{4}, & R(X_{r+i}, Z, X_{r+i}, Z) &= \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Next, we consider left-invariant almost contact structures (φ, ζ, η) on $H(1, r)$ compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with $\zeta = Z$ and $\eta = \gamma$. Denote by φ_k^l , $k, l = 1, \dots, 2r$, the (constant) components of φ with respect to the basis $\{X_k, Z\}$. Then, we have

Lemma 6.2. *Any left-invariant almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on $H(1, r)$ is of type $(\mathcal{C}_8 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{11}) - \mathcal{C}_{11}$. Moreover, it is of type*

- (i) $\mathcal{C}_8 \oplus \mathcal{C}_9$ if and only if $\varphi_i^{r+j} = \varphi_j^{r+i}$ and $\varphi_{r+i}^{r+j} = \varphi_i^j$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}_8$ if and only if $\varphi_i^{r+j} = \varphi_j^{r+i} = 0$ and $\varphi_{r+i}^{r+j} = \varphi_i^j$. Then, r must be even;
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}_9$ if and only if $\varphi_i^{r+j} = \varphi_j^{r+i}$ and $\varphi_{r+i}^{r+j} = \varphi_i^j = 0$,

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Proof. From (6.2), we get

$$(\nabla_{X_i} \varphi)X_k = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_k^{r+i}Z, \quad (\nabla_{X_{r+i}} \varphi)X_k = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_k^iZ,$$

where $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2r$. Also we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z \varphi)X_i &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \{(\varphi_i^{r+j} + \varphi_{r+i}^j)X_j + (\varphi_{r+i}^{r+j} - \varphi_i^j)X_{r+j}\}, \\ (\nabla_Z \varphi)X_{r+i} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \{(\varphi_{r+i}^{r+j} - \varphi_i^j)X_j - (\varphi_{r+i}^j + \varphi_i^{r+j})X_{r+j}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $d^*F(Z) = d^*\eta = 0$ and $(\nabla_Z \varphi) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_i^{r+j} = \varphi_j^{r+i}$ and $\varphi_{r+i}^{r+j} = \varphi_i^j$. Moreover, these last conditions imply

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_i} \varphi)X_j &= (\nabla_{X_j} \varphi)X_i = -(\nabla_{X_{r+i}} \varphi)X_{r+j} = -(\nabla_{X_{r+j}} \varphi)X_{r+i} \\ &= -(\nabla_{\varphi X_i} \varphi)X_j = (\nabla_{\varphi X_{r+i}} \varphi)X_{r+j} = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_i^{r+j}Z; \\ (\nabla_{X_i} \varphi)X_{r+j} &= -(\nabla_{X_{r+j}} \varphi)X_i = (\nabla_{\varphi X_i} \varphi)X_{r+j} = -(\nabla_{\varphi X_{r+j}} \varphi)X_i = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_i^jZ. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Lemma. $\square$

Remark 6.3. The almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with $\varphi X_i = X_{r+i}$ and $\varphi X_{r+i} = -X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, is of type $\mathcal{C}_9$ and so, it is almost cosymplectic but non-cosymplectic. Moreover, for r even and taking $\varphi X_{2i-1} = X_{2i}$ and $\varphi X_{2i} = -X_{2i-1}$, it follows that $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is of type $\mathcal{C}_8$.

Proposition 6.4. *Any harmonic left-invariant almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on $H(1, r)$ determines a harmonic map.*

Proof. From (6.2), the intrinsic torsion ξ of $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{X_i}Z &= -\frac{1}{2}X_{r+i}, & \xi_{X_{r+i}}Z &= -\frac{1}{2}X_i, \\ \xi_{X_i}X_j &= \xi_{X_{r+i}}X_{r+j} = 0, & \xi_{X_i}X_{r+j} &= \xi_{X_{r+i}}X_j = 0, \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Then, for the one-form ν defined in (2.4), one obtains by using (6.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(X_i) &= \langle \xi_{X_j}X_{r+j}, R(X_j, X_i)X_{r+j} \rangle + \langle \xi_{X_{r+j}}X_j, R(X_{r+j}, X_i)X_j \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \xi_Z X_i, R(Z, X_i)X_i \rangle = \frac{3}{4}\langle \xi_Z X_i, Z \rangle = 0, \\ \nu(X_{r+i}) &= \langle \xi_{X_j}X_{r+j}, R(X_j, X_{r+i})X_{r+j} \rangle + \langle \xi_{X_{r+j}}X_j, R(X_{r+j}, X_i)X_j \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \xi_Z X_{r+i}, R(Z, X_{r+i})X_{r+i} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4}\langle \xi_Z X_{r+i}, Z \rangle = 0, \\ \nu(Z) &= \langle \xi_{X_j}X_{r+j}, R(X_j, Z)X_{r+j} \rangle + \langle \xi_{X_{r+j}}X_j, R(X_{r+j}, Z)X_j \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, ν is vanished and we have the result. $\square$

Now, from Theorem 4.3, we can conclude

Corollary 6.5. *Any left-invariant almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on $H(1, r)$ of type $\mathbb{C}_8 \oplus \mathbb{C}_9$ determines a harmonic map.*

Using (6.4), one directly obtains $d^*\xi(Z) = 0$ and

$$d^*\xi(X_i) = -(\nabla_Z \xi_Z X_i + \tfrac{1}{2} \xi_Z X_{r+i}), \quad d^*\xi(X_{r+i}) = \tfrac{1}{2} \xi_Z X_i - \nabla_Z \xi_Z X_{r+i}.$$

Hence, we have

Proposition 6.6. *On $H(1, r)$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The left-invariant almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is harmonic;*
- (ii) *its intrinsic torsion ξ satisfies*

$$\langle \xi_Z X_i, X_j \rangle = \langle \xi_Z X_{r+i}, X_{r+j} \rangle, \quad \langle \xi_Z X_i, X_{r+j} \rangle = \langle \xi_Z X_j, X_{r+i} \rangle;$$

$$(iii) \sum_{k=1}^r \{(\varphi_j^{r+k} + \varphi_{r+j}^k)(\varphi_i^k + \varphi_{r+i}^{r+k}) + (\varphi_{r+j}^{r+k} - \varphi_j^k)(\varphi_i^{r+k} - \varphi_{r+i}^k)\} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \{\varphi_i^k \varphi_{r+j}^{r+k} - \varphi_i^{r+k} \varphi_{r+j}^k + \varphi_j^{r+k} \varphi_{r+i}^k - \varphi_j^k \varphi_{r+i}^{r+k}\} = 0;$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Here $ds^{\text{ac}} = 0$ and, for X in the Lie algebra of $H(1, r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (d^* \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}})(X) &= \sum_{k=1}^{2r} \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X_k, \nabla_{X_k} X) = \frac{\eta(X)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (\text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X_i, X_{r+i}) + \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}}(X_{r+i}, X_i)) \\ &= \frac{\eta(X)}{8} \sum_{i,j=1}^r (\varphi_i^{r+j} + \varphi_{r+i}^j)(\varphi_j^i + \varphi_{r+j}^{r+i}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from Propositions 6.4 and 6.6, we have

Corollary 6.7. *Left-invariant almost contact metric structures $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on $H(1, r)$ such that $\varphi_i^{r+j} = \pm \varphi_j^{r+i}$, $\varphi_i^j = \pm \varphi_{r+i}^{r+j}$, for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, determine harmonic maps and $d^* \text{Ric}^{\text{ac}} = 0$.*

Remark 6.8. On $H(1, 2)$ we consider the following examples of left-invariant almost contact metric structures $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ where

- (A) $\varphi X_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(X_2 + X_4)$, $\varphi X_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-X_1 + X_3)$, $\varphi X_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-X_2 + X_4)$,
 $\varphi X_4 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(X_1 + X_3)$,
- (B) $\varphi X_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(X_2 + X_4)$, $\varphi X_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(X_1 + X_3)$, $\varphi X_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(X_2 - X_4)$,
 $\varphi X_4 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-X_1 + X_3)$,
- (C) $\varphi X_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(X_2 + X_3)$, $\varphi X_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-X_1 + X_4)$, $\varphi X_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(X_1 + X_4)$,
 $\varphi X_4 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-X_2 + X_3)$.

Then, the example (A) is of type $\mathbb{C}_8 \oplus \mathbb{C}_9 - (\mathbb{C}_8 \cup \mathbb{C}_9)$ and (B) and (C) are of type $\mathbb{C}_8 \oplus \mathbb{C}_9 \oplus \mathbb{C}_{11} - (\mathbb{C}_8 \cup \mathbb{C}_9 \cup \mathbb{C}_{11})$. Moreover, using Propositions 6.4 and 6.6, these structures determine harmonic maps if and only if $\varphi_1^3(\varphi_3^4 - \varphi_1^2) = (\varphi_1^3 + \varphi_2^4)(\varphi_1^4 - \varphi_2^3) = 0$. Hence, it follows that the examples (A) and (B) determine harmonic maps and (C) is not a harmonic almost contact structure.

The generalised Heisenberg groups $H(p, 1)$. The Lie group $H(p, 1)$ consists of matrices

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & c \\ 0 & I_p & B^t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_p denotes the identity matrix of type $p \times p$, $A = (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $B = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $H(p, 1)$ is a connected, simply connected, nilpotent, of dimension $2p + 1$ and its center is one-dimensional. A global system of coordinates (x^i, x^{p+i}, z) , $1 \leq i \leq p$, on $H(p, 1)$ is defined by

$$x^i(a) = a_i, \quad x^{p+i}(a) = b_i, \quad z(a) = c$$

and a basis of left-invariant one-forms is given by $\alpha_i = dx^i$, $\alpha_{p+i} = dx^{p+i}$, $\gamma = dz - \sum_{j=1}^p x^j dx^{p+j}$. Therefore, for the dual left-invariant vector fields, we have

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad X_{p+i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{p+i}} + x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

On $H(p, 1)$ we consider the Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for which these vector fields form an orthonormal basis. Then, the corresponding Levi Civita connection is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_i} X_{p+i} &= -\nabla_{X_{p+i}} X_i = \frac{1}{2} Z, \\ \nabla_{X_i} Z &= \nabla_Z X_i = -\frac{1}{2} X_{p+i}, \\ \nabla_{X_{p+i}} Z &= \nabla_Z X_{p+i} = \frac{1}{2} X_i, \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where the remaining covariant derivatives with respect to this basis vanish. For the nonvanishing components of the curvature tensor R , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(X_i, X_j, X_{p+i}, X_{p+j}) &= \frac{1}{4}, \quad i \neq j, & R(X_i, X_{p+i}, X_i, X_{p+i}) &= \frac{3}{4}, \\ R(X_i, X_{p+i}, X_j, X_{p+j}) &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad i \neq j, & R(X_i, X_{p+j}, X_j, X_{p+i}) &= \frac{1}{4}, \quad i \neq j, \\ R(X_i, Z, X_i, Z) &= -\frac{1}{4}, & R(X_{p+i}, Z, X_{p+i}, Z) &= -\frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

As on $H(1, r)$, we consider left-invariant almost contact structures (φ, ζ, η) on $H(p, 1)$ compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with $\zeta = Z$ and $\eta = \gamma$. Denote by φ_k^l , $k, l = 1, \dots, 2p$, the (constant) components of φ with respect to the basis $\{X_k, Z\}$. Then, we have

Lemma 6.9. *Any left-invariant almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on $H(p, 1)$ is of type $(\mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{11}) - \mathcal{C}_{11}$. Moreover, it is of type*

- (i) $\mathcal{C}_6 \oplus \mathcal{C}_7$ if and only if $\varphi_i^{p+j} = \varphi_j^{p+i}$ and $\varphi_{p+i}^{p+j} = \varphi_i^j$, for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}_6$ if and only if $\varphi_i^{p+i} = \lambda$, with $\lambda = \pm 1$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, being zero the remainder components of φ . Then, it is $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ -Sasakian;
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}_7$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i^{p+i} = 0$, ($p \geq 2$).

Proof. From (6.5), we obtain

$$(\nabla_{X_i} \varphi) X_k = \frac{1}{2} \varphi_k^{p+i} Z, \quad (\nabla_{X_{p+i}} \varphi) X_k = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_k^i Z,$$

where $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2p$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z \varphi) X_i &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \{(\varphi_i^{p+j} + \varphi_{p+i}^j) X_j + (\varphi_{p+i}^{p+j} - \varphi_i^j) X_{p+j}\}, \\ (\nabla_Z \varphi) X_{p+i} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \{(\varphi_{p+i}^{p+j} - \varphi_i^j) X_j - (\varphi_{p+i}^j + \varphi_i^{p+j}) X_{p+j}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $d^*F(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i^{p+i}$, $d^*\eta = 0$ and $(\nabla_Z \varphi) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_i^{p+j} = \varphi_j^{p+i}$ and $\varphi_{p+i}^{p+j} = \varphi_i^j$. Moreover, these last conditions imply

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_i} \varphi) X_j &= (\nabla_{X_j} \varphi) X_i = (\nabla_{X_{p+i}} \varphi) X_{p+j} = (\nabla_{X_{p+j}} \varphi) X_{p+i} \\ &= (\nabla_{\varphi X_i} \varphi) \varphi X_j = (\nabla_{\varphi X_{p+i}} \varphi) \varphi X_{p+j} = \frac{1}{2} \varphi_i^{p+j} Z; \\ (\nabla_{X_i} \varphi) X_{p+j} &= (\nabla_{X_{p+j}} \varphi) X_i = (\nabla_{\varphi X_i} \varphi) \varphi X_{p+j} = (\nabla_{\varphi X_{p+j}} \varphi) \varphi X_i = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_i^j Z. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Lemma. $\square$

From (6.5), the intrinsic torsion ξ of $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{X_i} Z &= \frac{1}{2} X_{p+i}, & \xi_{X_{p+i}} Z &= -\frac{1}{2} X_i, \\ \xi_{X_i} X_j &= \xi_{X_{p+i}} X_{p+j} = 0, & \xi_{X_i} X_{p+j} &= \xi_{X_{p+i}} X_j = 0, \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Then, as in the case $H(1, r)$, we obtain that the one-form ν defined in (2.4) vanishes and we have

Proposition 6.10. *Any harmonic left-invariant almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on $H(p, 1)$ determines a harmonic map.*

Moreover, from Theorem 4.3, we can conclude

Corollary 6.11. *Any left-invariant almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \zeta = Z, \eta = \gamma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on $H(p, 1)$ of type $\mathbb{C}_6 \oplus \mathbb{C}_7$ determines a harmonic map.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1987.
- [2] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Math. vol 203, Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [3] G. Bör and L. Hernández Lamóneda, Bochner formulae for orthogonal G -structures on compact manifolds, *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **15** (2001), 265–286.
- [4] G. Bör, L. Hernández Lamóneda and M. Salvai, Orthogonal almos-complex structures of minimal energy on conformally, and half-conformally flat manifolds, *Geom. Dedicata* 127 (2007), 75–85. [arXiv:math.DG/0609511](#)
- [5] D. Chinea and J. C. González-Dávila, A classification of almost contact metric manifolds, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 156 (1990), 15–36.
- [6] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, A report on harmonic maps, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 10 (1978), 1–68.
- [7] ——— and ———, Another report on harmonic maps, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 20 (1988), 385–524.
- [8] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [9] R. Cleyton and A. F. Swann, Einstein metrics via intrinsic or parallel torsion, *Math. Z.* 247 no. 3 (2004), 513–528.
- [10] J. C. González-Dávila and F. Martín Cabrera, Harmonic G -structures, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* to appear. [arXiv:math.DG/0706.0116](#).
- [11] J. C. González-Dávila, F. Martín Cabrera and M. Salvai, Harmonicity of sections of sphere bundles, *Math. Z.* to appear. [arXiv:math.DG/0711.3703](#)
- [12] A. Gray, Vector cross products on manifolds, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 141 (1969), 465–504.
- [13] ———, Six-dimensional almost complex manifolds defined by means of three-fold vector cross products, *Tôhoku Math. J.* II. Ser. 21 (1969), 614–620.
- [14] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 123 (1980), 35–58.

- [15] Y. Haraguchi, Sur une généralisation des structures de contact, Thèse, Université du Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, 1981.
- [16] A. Kaplan, On the geometry of groups of Heisenberg type, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 15 (1983), 35-42.
- [17] F. Martín Cabrera and A. Swann, Curvature of Special Almost Hermitian Manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.* 228 (2006), 165–184. [math.DG/0501062](#)
- [18] H. Urakawa, *Calculus of variations and harmonic maps*, Transl. of Math. Monographs 132, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1993.
- [19] G. Wiegman, Total bending of vector fields on Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.* 303 (1995), 325-344.
- [20] I. Vaisman, Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form, *Rend. Mat.* (6) 12 no. 2 (1979), 263–284.
- [21] E. Vergara-Díaz and C. M. Wood, Harmonic Almost Contact Structures, *Geom. Dedicata* 123 (2006), 131–151.
- [22] J. Vilms, Totally geodesic maps, *J. Diff. Geom.* 4 (1970), 73–79.
- [23] C. M. Wood, Harmonic almost-complex structures, *Compositio Mathematica* 99 (1995), 183-212.
- [24] C. M. Wood, Harmonic sections of homogeneous fibre bundles, *Differential Geom. Appl.* 19 (2003), 193-210.
- [25] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Structures on manifolds*, Series in Pure Mathematics 3, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.

(J. C. González-Dávila) DEPARTMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LA LAGUNA,
38200 LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE, SPAIN
E-mail address: jcgonza@ull.es

(F. Martín Cabrera) DEPARTMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL MATHEMATICS, CSIC ASSOCIATED UNITY, UNI-
VERSITY OF LA LAGUNA, 38200 LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE, SPAIN
E-mail address: fmartin@ull.es